G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

12-87

File No. 12-8

19

Serial Nos 1 8

Later references.

Gr 5:22-186

Gr 63:27 (1)

(170)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 187

Part B for ~~April~~ July 1901.

SUBJECT.

Rules for regulating the rights of Inamdars & the Govt. Toddy contractors to Toddy Trees on Inami Lands.

Gr 7 To The Excise Commr in Mysore
Deputy Commr ~~Mysore~~

No 10063-4 Dt 19-6-1901.
Rev Dt 223-92

Your direct that Amildars taken by Deputy Comm to collect the marginally noted dues from each Inami & the proceeds reported at an early date.

WD 1888—GPB—16,926—23-7-21

Note

L.A. 12-87. The holders of some Inam villages have right to toddy revenue in their villages. When the abkary ~~[illegible]~~ was under ~~Govt~~ the direct or Amany Management of Govt, the Govt officers sold the toddy in Inam villages also but paid the receipts to the Inamdars. Where the Inamdars had no toddy trees in their villages, toddy was supplied by Govt to Inamdars at prime cost & the Inamdars were allowed to sell the toddy & take the profits.—

See Dy Commrs memo.—
Dy Commrs judgment in case of the Sirdar of Malkhed [illegible] Taluq ~~[illegible]~~ Toddy Contractor. [illegible]

2. When the Govt farmed out their toddy revenue, the contractors were left to settle their terms with the Inamdars & where there was a dispute the Dy Commissioner settled it, or allowed the Inamdars to ~~settle~~ sell toddy in their own Villages. The latter system was however found inconvenient & the Chief Commr ruled in 1873 that Inamdars should in no case be allowed to sell toddy in their Villages, & directed the Dt officers "to assume management of the collections of Sayer & Abkary revenue in Inam & Rayamegutta Villages in which the collections have been hitherto been made by the holders."— He at the same time promised the Inamdars to pay them "the amount realized minus the cost of collection so long as the said dues are collected by Govt".—

Vide G O 8th Octr 1873

Not Surety by private Contract

3. Subsequent to 1873; the Abkary contractors appear to have settled their terms with the Iuanos, but where they were not able to come to terms, the Chief Commr directed them to pay to the Iuanos the average receipts derived by them (Iuros) during the preceding 5 years.— Vide Chief Commrs Prs. dated 30th August 1881 Nos 4533/193.—

4. Matters went on smoothly under the abr arrangements, but a question has now arisen whether the contractors are bound to pay anything to the Iuanos when they (Contractors) do draw & sell toddy in Iuan Villages.— The present abkary contractor whose lease expires on 1st April 1890, refuses to draw toddy & sell

in certain Inam Villages & contends that he is not bound to pay any thing to the Inamdars.—

5. The determination of the Question now raised depends upon the terms of our Contract with the abkary renter.— In the Contract there is only one clause which speaks of the Contractor's obligations in regard to Inam Villages & it runs as follows:—

"No interference shall be made by the lessee with the rights as already ascertained & defined of the holders of Sarva = Inam & Kayamgutteya Villages who are authorized by Canada Law to exercise such rights within the limits of their Villages. Disputes regarding such rights & the amount of Compensation due to the Inamdars as Toddy Revenue will be decided by the Dy Commissioner

of the District & the
Lessee shall be bound
by such decision which
shall be subject however
to the confirmation or
otherwise of the Dewan."

6. Now the Contractor
argues "I have not drawn
toddy from the trees of
Inamdars, I have not sold
toddy in their Villages,
& I have not in any
way interfered with their
rights & I am not therefore
bound to pay any thing
to the Inamdars."

On the other hand
the Inamdars say
"Govt & you have
prohibited us from
selling our toddy in our
Villages, you promised
to manage the revenue
for us & pay us the
proceeds minus cost,
& if you or your contractor
do not chose to sell
toddy in our Villages
it is not our fault —
you must pay us
the amount which

A-A-12-87

we should have
got [illegible] if you had
fulfilled your promise
& sold the toddy".—

6. So far as the
Contention of the Inamdars
goes, it appears to me
to be just & proper—
Either the Govt or
the Contractor must
pay them the average
receipts they derived
in the previous years,
& the only question that
regards consideration
is, whether under the
terms of the Contract,
we can compel the
Contractor to make
the payment to the
Inamdars,—

7. The Contract to be
literally interpreted
is in favor of the
Contractor's contention
but interpreting it
in connection with the
previous history, &
the power taken by
Govt to determine the
amount payable by the
Contractor to the Inamdars
in Cases of dispute,

I think that the intention of the Contract was that the Iwandus should sell toddy in the Iwaun villages also & pay the Iwandus the profits derived in those villages Inness cost or such other amount as may be determined upon by the Dy Commissioner or agreed to by the Iwaun. If such was not the intention of the Contract I ask why did the Contract vest the power of determining the amount payable to Iwandus in the Dy Comm.r? The Contractor says that the Iwandus demanded unreasonable sums — If so, the remedy was in her own hands. She might have asked the Dy Comm.r to settle a fair amount — It is clear that he was prevented from selling toddy in Iwaun Villages with a view of selling

Contractor's

compelling the Indians to agree to ~~favorable~~ terms favorable to himself, or to evade payments to Indians altogether & without entailing any loss upon himself as he could & did establish shops in localities adjacent to Indian villages & thus divert all the profits which have to go to Indians to himself.— The Contractor knew well, that Indians had no power to sell to any in their Villages, that if he did not sell it for them they must suffer.— The predecessor of the present contractor to whom the contract was granted on the same terms on which the present contract was granted never no such difficulties & there is no reason why the present contractor should have refused to

do as the previous contractors did.—

8. It is argued that the Inundus had the right to prevent the Contractor from drawing & selling wood in their Valleys, & so the Contractor must have the corresponding right to sell it, or not.— I do not think that the contention is valid. If the Inds prevent the Contractor, no body suffers except the Inds, but if the Contractor refuses, he gains & the Inundus suffer.— The object of the clause in the Contract is to protect the interests of the Inundus & the only protection which the Contractor needed was against any exhorbitant demand the Inundus might make, & that was granted.—

d. 1.12-87

Q. I think that it was clearly the duty

of the Contractor
to leave it to pay
in Inam Villages; &
as he failed to do it,
he must pay to Govt
the average proceeds
of the previous years.
The contention of the Contractor
is more technical than
just.—

9. + Whether the Inamdars
are entitled at all to
any payment irrespective
of the action of the Contractor
is a doctrinal question.
The Inam Comr declared that
the Inamdars under notice
are entitled to pay
Revenue, but before
deciding on the point, I
should like to see the
original Awards, the
Inam Registers, & the
Sale deeds granted by
the Inam Comr.—

10.— For the future,
we must try to leave
no room for any
misunderstanding in
the matter.— We must
either settle once for all
~~the villages entitled to~~
~~pay Revenue, & the~~
~~amounts they to be paid~~
the amounts which

+ 9½. If it is admitted
true that the decree
by the Contractors
believe, as it is said
he did, that they
need not make any
payment if they
did not sell toddy
in Inam Villages
then there is no alternative
but that the Govt should
pay the Inamdars — but
there is nothing to show
that he did convey
any such assurance
though he endeavoured
to lay down as the
rule for the future.

the owners are ~~entitled~~ entitled to receive, or shall specify in the contract & make them payable in a Govt Treasury leaving it to the contractor to sell his wood ~~in any~~ any where he pleases, or we must shall specify in the contract that the Contractor is bound to sell wood in Seven Villages or pay the Owners such sums as might be agreed by them or determined by the Dr Comrs, — Of course we can make Cash payments to the Owners and in the event of their agreeing to allow the use of their wood trees to the Contractor & not to fell the trees without the consent of Govt. I prefer the Cash payments. —

H In connection with the subject, there is one point more which I might mention for the consideration of the

that of the Lands to sell, he must be held responsible for the amount payable to the Inamdars, unless he has been prevented by the Ryots themselves from selling or over wood. If there are no wood trees in the village, we must say the Ryots have no right to wood revenue. —

Delivered. Cannot see
in future lease out
our abbey Revenue by
Taluqs instead of by D.ts!
Ther would then more
competition into the field
~~of Bids~~ as men of
moderate means with
local Knowledge would
be enabled to compete
& look after the interests
of Revenue. The argument
brought forward against
such a measure is that
it would increase
drunkenness, but I
do not believe that it
would. — It would only
prevent illicit consumption.
At any rate, the Toddy
may be leased out
separately by Taluqs —
Toddy is a much more
wholesome drink than arrack,
but I also feel quite certain
of the advisability of separating the
Toddy & arrack. — The
matter requires more consideration. —

M Venkataiah
22/6/89

Note

as to his right to collect the Toddy Revenue of the villages and his obligation to pay the Inamdar for such right. He actually acquired the right when he obtained the Government Contract, and it is his own fault if he omitted in any particular instance to exercise it. By such omission, he cannot claim exemption from payment due to the Inamdar. He might as well refuse to pay the Government a part of its Toddy Revenue on the ground that he did not choose to exercise it in a part of the District. The Inamdar is prevented from realizing his Toddy Revenue; the Government has undertaken to realize it for him: the Contractor receives from Government the right to realize the

A-12-87

Toddy Revenue in the entire District, on the understanding that he pays to Government a certain fixed amount and to the Inamdars certain sums regulated in proportion to such amount, and in doubtful cases by the decision of Govt Officers. He has accepted the contract and signed the bond with full knowledge of the rules which define the Inamdars' right. He cannot, and of course does not plead ignorance of having acquired under the Contract the right to manage the Inamdars' Toddy Revenue, for he does exercise it in the case of many Inamdars. He apparently wishes to have the option of not exercising it in certain Inam villages. He is at

liberty

liberty to do so, but by doing so, he cannot escape from the payment to the Inamdar which he is under a distinct obligation to make.

21. The Inamdars' right to the Toddy Revenue consists of both rent for toddy trees and excise duty or in some cases only excise duty. Where the two are combined, it is of course impossible to separate them. The amount of payment due to the Inamdar must be assumed to have been fixed after taking into account the trees in the village whether the Inamdar has toddy trees or not, he is entitled to the sum fixed as Toddy Revenue in his case. If he prevents the Contractor from having

access

access to the trees or des-
-troys them, Government
will of course cancel his
Toddy Inam; but the
non-existence of Toddy trees
in his village or the non-use
of them by the Contractor
or the non-establishment of
Toddy shops within the
village are no grounds
on which the Contractor
can refuse to pay the
Inamdar his Toddy
Revenue. The Contractor's
dodge of not selling
within the Inam village
but just outside it, shows
that he has been unwilling
to forego the Toddy Revenue
derivable in respect of
the Inam village.

22. Under such circumstances the
decision of the Government
in the appeal cases now
before it is shewn in the
last Column of the State-
-ment appended to this.

** No. of Toddy trees Nil
Cocoanut, etc., Enumerated 2-6-22

(3)

Memo.

A.Q. 12-87

The principal point for determination is, whether, under the terms of the present contract, the Government Toddy Renter is, in cases in which he had abstained from drawing or selling toddy in Inam Villages, exonerated from all liability to the Inamdars, in respect of the Toddy Revenue secured to them by their Sanads -

2. The Notification of 4th January 188 contains the terms of the lease. Under Clause VIII (a) the lessee is allowed the use of the date trees growing only on Government waste land for the extraction of toddy - As regards Inam Villages and occupied assessed lands, he is required to arrange, with the Inamdars and ryots for drawing toddy from trees belonging to them, on such terms as may be mutually agreed upon - The Contractor merely took the law of Government as regards the right of collecting and selling the toddy of Sircar trees, and he was, therefore, en-

9

In reply to Inamdars Memo dated 5th Nov 1889

terfere with the rights professed by
aundars; the Deputy Commissioner being
powered to decide disputes, if any,
ith regard to such rights.

There is nothing in the conditions
the Contract rendering it obligatory
the part of the lessee to draw or sell
ddy in Duan Villages at the mere
uest of the Duandars. The Duandars
ay or may not allow the Government
ntractor to draw toddy from their
es, and it is equally optional with the
ntractor to accept or decline any offer
proposal made to him by the Duan-
ars, for drawing toddy from trees
uated in the Duan Villages. Para
ph 4 of the Dewan's Proceedings, No
59-78, Dated 2nd December 1879, support
s in this view.

When the Contract system was
troduced the right which Government
ways retained, to the monopoly of
e disposal of the toddy, was conceded
the Contractor with certain restrictions
d reservations. Date trees on Patta and
ain lands were treated as the property
the land owners, and such trees were
tually excluded from the contract.
e was interdicted from meddling

with such trees without the previous consent of the Tuners. He was not compelled by us to do, for the Tuandars, what the Government did heretofore under the Tuari system; and, in the absence of a special contract between the Tuandars and himself, he incurred no special liability. But if he unauthorizedly or illegally interfered with the Tuandars' rights, the Deputy Commissioner was competent to enquire into the matter and to award compensation. A mere refusal to accept the offer or proposal made by an Tuandar would not confer a right to claim compensation from the Contractor. That a former Contractor drew toddy from certain Tuari Villages and made payments to the Tuandars, is no ground for insisting on the present Contractor doing the same. Without proof of a separate and independent contract in each case or of any interference on the part of the Contractor with the Tuandars' rights, there cannot be a legal and valid award for compensation under Clause V.

5. It is true that Tuandars are not permitted to draw and sell toddy in their Villages except through the Government Contractor. This is the

law which has been in force since many years and the law was intended to protect the interests of Government and to prevent injury to the Government monopoly. At the same time the Duanmdars are allowed to draw toddy from their trees for domestic purposes or for the manufacture of Jaggery, and to otherwise use the trees. There has admittedly been no interference with the enjoyment of such rights, and I therefore fail to see on what ground the Contractor can be held liable.

5. It is said that, before the contract system was introduced and when Government itself managed the Abkari Revenue, the toddy revenue was paid to the Duandars, deducting a certain per centage on account of the cost of collection, but this has nothing to do with the present Contractor's liability. Under the Duani system the Government made arrangements for drawing toddy from all trees, Government as well as Duan; but, under the contract system, there was a reservation of the right with regard to the Duan trees. The Duandars have therefore no hold on the Contractor in the absence of a special agreement.

7. There is however one point which may be urged in favour of the Guardians. If, prior to the date of the present lease, shops were shops in some of the Guam Villages and toddy was sold therein, and if, subsequent to the date of the current lease, those shops or any of them were closed and the sale of toddy was stopped, such act, on the part of the Contractor, may be regarded as an interference with the rights of the Guardians. It is laid down in Condition II, that no alteration shall be made by the Contractor in the locality or number of the retail shops already opened for sale of toddy without the express sanction of the Deputy Commissioner. The Contractor was bound to maintain, for the benefit of the Guardians, the shops that already existed in the Guam Villages, and if he closed any of them or caused the Deputy Commissioner to do so, it seems to me that, in such cases, the Guardians concerned have a just ground for complaint and a fair claim for compensation as against the Contractor.

8. But if no alteration was made, subsequent to the date of contract, either in the locality or number of the

retail shops to the prejudice of the Muandars, there is no cause of action against the Contractor.

9. If, under the present Contract system the Muandars have not been able to induce the lessee to draw or sell toddy in the Muan Villages and have thereby sustained a loss of their toddy revenue, they must look to Government for compensation, on the ground that proper arrangements have not been made to secure to them their toddy revenue.

10. It must be assumed that the toddy drawn from the Government trees alone is, at present, more than sufficient to meet the demand; and, if so, it is neither just nor equitable to compel the Contractor to go to the Muan Villages and to procure toddy which could not be sold.

11. If, at the time the tenders were invited, the date trees in Muan Villages were not excluded, and it was clearly intimated, that the successful bidder would be required to draw and sell toddy in Muan Villages, and to pay certain sums to the Muandars, the contract amount would, most probably, have been less than what

has been guaranteed - No such intimation has been given and the lessee having obtained the lease on the express understanding that he was under no obligation to make any payments to the Inamdar if he abstained from drawing or selling toddy in their Villages, he cannot now be held responsible for the loss, if any sustained by the Inamdars.

12. However the Drinking Population of the Inam Villages had recourse to the shops established in Government Villages and as, by excluding the Inam Villages from the contract, the revenue derived by Government was much larger than it would have been if no such exclusion were made, the increase or excess may fairly be regarded as representing the Inamdar's share of the toddy revenue and the present claim for compensation satisfied by Government

3. In future contracts it would be well to include all Inam Villages & to fix the Amounts payable to the Inamdars with reference to the average receipts of 5 years preceding the date of the present contract - In Inam Villages in which there are no date groves or shops already established no compensation can, I think, be

~~villages~~:

14. ... the Inam Villages in which there are date groves they should be properly demarcated and strictly conserved.

15. I would not increase the number of retail shops by establishing additional ones in Inam Villages, as such a step would stimulate consumption, in order to increase the revenue, and defeat the object of Government which is to obtain the largest possible revenue on the smallest consumption of toddy.

I would only continue in Inam Villages the same number of shops that existed on the date of the present contract.

R. Thumboo Chetty

Bangalore
26th June 1889

Memo

25

The following cases relating to Shanbhag Toddy are pending orders:-

Case No. I

Present

Mr. Desraj Urs thro' his Agent Papanna by Mr E. Soobroyer Advocate

vs

A. Q. 12-67.

Messrs. R. C. Muddajoppa & Co. Toddy Contractors, Mysore District.

Amount claimed as compensation for Toddy Revenue of the Shanbhag Villages, Bagala, Jennoor, Doddahalli in the Chamarajnagar Taluq and Hosakote in the Nanjangud Taluq at the rate of Rs 123-6 per mensem from 1st April 1887 to 31st March 1889 is Rs 1476-6-0 per annum or Rs 295-2-12-0 for two years

Amount awarded by the Dy Commr is Rupees - - - - 1537-2-0.

For 1887/88 For the three villages of the Chamarajnagar Taluq at the same rate as that paid by the former Contractors Rs 117-15-6 which is the rate claimed - -

For 1888/89 -- For these three villages -

For 1887/8 and 1888/89 Hosakote in the Nanjangud Taluk at Rs 5-1-0 per mensem Or Rs 60-12-0 per annum 121-8-0

1537-2-0

Thus the amount disallowed is Rs 1415-10-0 being the Revenue of the three villages in the Chamarajnagar Taluq for 1888/89 The reasons assigned by the Dy Commr for disallowing this Sum is that the Toddy groves in these villages were not tapped by the Contractors if

the

the Iramdars trees were tapped this year it was after the Contractors had distinctly given up having anything to do with the Iramdars' rights, so that it can only form Subject for civil action against the individuals who unauthorizedly interfered with them:

The chief grounds of appeal:—

The right of Iramdars to toddy reverence having been recognized and the Govt having taken away the power of Iramdars to sell toddy and having granted the lease of toddy to the Contractors on the Condition of his complying with all the conditions the Govt had imposed on previous Contractors the Contractor is bound to pay the Iramdars the amount they have been receiving from previous Contractors

The Contractor has evaded payment to the Iramdar by opening no shops in the Iram Villages but has derived all the benefit of selling toddy just at the confines of such Villages

The order of the Dy Collector is opposed to the Inam Regs of 1888 according to which the Iramdars should

Should continue to receive the amount they have hitherto received until the rules on the Subject are altered.

The lower Court has failed to allow such amounts as the appellant would be entitled to in proportion to the increase in the aggregate amount of the Contractors Contract with the Govt with regard to the Villages whose claims were allowed.

Swatha Narayan Sastry Kayem Thadar Haknur Village in Tirumakudlu Narsipur Taluq

Messrs R.C. Muddappa & Co Govt Toddy Contractors Mysore District

on account of the Toddy Revenue of the village from 1st April 1887 to 31st March 89 at Rs 13. 14. 12 per annum 333. 4[illegible]

His claim was entirely disallowed by the D.C. in the first instance though the village is entered in the list of villages forwarded by the Inam Superintendent as the Summd of the village was not produced and as the Inam Register contained the following remark:

"all Government rights to Abkary and Sayer are reserved".

The Inam Supt having subsequently interpreted this remark as not referring to Toddy and stated that the item of Toddy revenue in the Village has not been reserved to the State as it has been in the enjoyment of the holder for a long time the Dy Commr is disposed to allow a sum of Rs 10-6-9 to the Inamdar to be paid by the Contractor as the latter exercised the right of the former and drew toddy only during the month of April 1867. This amount represents the rent for date trees for the month.

The Inamdar's claim to Toddy Revenue being thus admitted, his only grievance will be that he gets less than the amount claimed: and this case becomes similar to case No 7.

Case no III

Sangeeva Ramalhar and Sangeeva Vedanyasalhar, Inamdars of Vethanhalli (Sarvamanium) Village Mysore Taluk.

Toddy Contractor Mysore Dist.

Claim on account of Toddy Revenue of the Inam Village from 1st April 1867

to 31st March 1889 at Rs 20-10-0 per —
Mensem for two years . . . 1407-0-0

Grnt of award —

For April and May 1887
when the Contractors fully
exercised Iraundars rights
For 22 months from 1st June
1887 to 31st March 1889 when
the Contractors did not tap
Iraundars date trees
but were vending toddy
within Iram Village
limits: one-fourth of
the amount claimed is
taken to represent Excise
and the remainder
three-fourths Rs 322-7-0

Total . . . 439-11-0

Balance disallowed 266-5-
167-5-

Main ground of appeal

There are 20,000 date trees
in the village from which toddy
is supplied to adjoining Village
The Shop in the Village (established
by the Contractors) yields Rs 40 or 50
per diem. The Dy Commrs order
awarding 1/4th of the amount
claimed is opposed to the
Dewans Pro of October 1888.
While in consequence of the
increasing Sales Petitioners are

entitled to more, they are awarded less.

Case IV. Aswathaiah Poidar of Konaganhalli T. Narsipur Dt

vs

Toddy Contractors Mysore District

Claim on account of Toddy Revenue from 1st April 1887 to 31st March 1889 at Rs 22-6-10 per annum . . . . . 44-13-8.

Award Nil as no receipts accrued in the Inam Village limits.

Case V. Anklay Anniah Pundit Manager and Co-sharer of the Inam Villages of Sagadi Thammadahally and Angiapura Chamarajnagar Taluk

vs

Toddy Contractors, Mysore District

Claim Rs 128 per annum from 1st April 1887 on accn of Toddy Revenue in the Village.

Award Nil no receipts having accrued from an Exercise of the Inamdar's Rights.

VI. The Govt Contractor having refused to take Inamdar's Toddy in the Village

and to sell in Inam Village limits, Bannur Edejar ~~Basavana~~ BaseGowda offers to pay Rs 10 a month if allowed to draw Inamdar's Toddy and sell it in the Village: Ne. Dy Commr solicits orders in the matter.

Case No VII Dwz Muddappa Vs Balaraj Urs
Kadakola village, Mysore Taluk.

In reply to ~~para~~ paras 4 and 6 of the Dewan's Pro. No 1038-40 of 8th May 1889, the Inam Supt. States that the Abkary Revenue where alienated, has not, in the case of any jodi or quit-rent paying villages been deducted from the combined ~~rent~~ quit-rent but has been left to be managed by Govt and accounted for separately to the Inamdars. No certificates as directed in para 2 of the late Ch Commr's Pro. of 8th October 1873 No 3697-30 have been issued by the Inam Deptt. The Inam Supt also submits a list of whole Inam Villages to which the Rules of 1873 have been applied:

Case No VIII T. Ranga Raje Urs - Vs - Muddappa
Yedakola in Mysore Tk & Kasavanahalli
No 211

(4) Rough Draft 32

A. A. 12-87.

2 parties in the above cases were heard
two Members of H.H. the Maharaja's Council

The chief point for determination is whether
under the Todd Revenue under these
grants can claim from the Todd Contractor
amount of the Todd Revenue of their
villages, whether or not the Contractor
has exercised the Mamdani rights, that is
(or not)
whether he has drawn or sold
within their Villages —

By order of the late Chief Court of 8 Oct 1883,
Sayer & Abkari
management of the Revenue in
Villages
ordered to be
assumed by the Govt. and the
Mamdars were promised payment of
the Net Revenue realized. —

These orders were intended to cancel
previous orders which directed the reservation of
Sayer & Abkari Rev
in Main Villages
and the payment of Compensation for such Rev.
resumption either by deduction of
the average amount of such Rev from the Quit rents of
regular Mamdars — or by such payments
them

The orders of 8 Oct 1873 did not introduce any practical change in the manner in which the Inamdars' todgi Rev. used to be administered to him by the Govt., ever since the adoption of the Contract System -

6. Both before and after the issue of these orders, a certain fixed Sum being recognized as the amount of todgi Revenue due to each Inamdar, entitled to the Item of Rev under his Sanad - The Govt Contractor paid the fixed Sum to the Govt: the same for the amount of his Contract, and it was expressly laid down by Orders of Govt and was recognized as a distinct undertaking on the part of the Contract over and above the Amt:

Todgi Rev in Inam villages was being realized by the Govt Contractor

this sum was

and in addition paid each Inamdar the Sum to which he

7. This Sum was originally fixed with reference to actual realizations. When the Cultivary was under Govt. management, and it was from time to time increased or decreased in the proportion of the increase or decrease of the Govt Rev. that is to say of the amount the Contractor paid to the Govt

8. The Govt Contractor has always paid the Inamdars, their Todgi Rev.

ascertained as above; and the
payments have been made by the
Guicty & there over & above
the Amount of the Contract ~~which~~ to
by him & the ~~to be paid to~~ Govt —

Rowlett
abandoned —

7. The arrangement above described in
the preceding three paragraphs has been
definitely laid down in express
terms by the Orders of Govt issued
from time to time during the past 20 or 30 years,
and ~~there has been no alteration~~
~~so as~~ it is still in full force and
effect.

~~Drawn~~

10 In the Mysore Dt. for instance the
Inamdars receive from the Contractor
certain fixed sums of money on
account of their Tasdy Rs. When
the Amount of the Contract subsequently
rose to Rs 20 lacs the Contractor
paid a proportionate increase on
the said Sums — ~~to~~ from Each successive
Contractor the Inamdars received
his dues which were always regulated in proportion to
the Govt Amt of the Govt Contract, & unless
for any Special reasons the Inamdars
& Contractors were able to enter into
any Special terms as to payments.

during the period
of the Contract which
ended on 31 Oct 1868
& of which the Amt
was 1,68,323

11 In Augt 1881 the Govt ruled that in cases of dispute between Mamdar & Contractor as to the amount payable to the former by the latter, the Dept (Govt. shd) be final the same with reference to the average receipts of the last five years.

The Todgy advertisements & notices for

(15 May 1879)
1st April 1875 to ~~31 March 1880~~
and 16 May 1879 to 31 March 1885
contained the following provision on the subject -

"Lease of Todgy in Mamdar &c. Villages will be taken for the same period and on the same conditions as those of the Govt Contract at proportionate rates."

13 This was rather loosely worded but the meaning of it was quite clear to both Govt & the Contractor and the latter executed his Bonds in the same terms -

2

L.R. 12-87.

14 In Subsequent Govt advertisements, those for 1st April 84 31 March 85 & for 1st April 85 ~~& 31 March 86~~, ~~& for 1st April 87 & 31 March 90, & improved working as adopted. the~~ the same agents arrangements were expressed in somewhat different words, with provision for decision by Dist Court in case of dispute. The words used were as follows:-

No interference shall be made by the Lessee with the rights as already ascertained & defined of the holders of Jodi, Sarvamanian & Kheyampatta villages who are authorized by the Jammabandi &c. to exercise such rights within the limits of their villages. Disputes regarding such right will be decided by the Dist Court of N. Arcot & the Lessee shall be bound by such decision, which shall be subject however to the Govt or otherwise, be appealed to the Ch. Court (or Board)

15 In the Govt advertisement for the period from 1st April 87 to 31 March,

the Same words have been ~~used~~
used, with additional proviso
provision regarding disposal
~~of disputes~~ by "Dely Comrs. of ~~Pay~~
" disputes regarding the amounts
" of Compensation due to Mandays
" as Daily Rev. "

16 Under such circs there can be
no reasonable doubt as to the
Obligation of the Contractor to
pay the Mandays their just
claims on account of the Daily
Rev to which they are entitled —
The Contractor does not ignore their claims
~~his obligation~~, but wishes to
defeat them by ~~failing to~~
~~Seek~~ omitting to realize them.

17 The subject came up before the
Govt for consideration and certain
Draft Rules ~~were issued~~ framed
in accordance with the Mandays
Contention were circulated to
Dely Comrs. for their opinions
vide Proc Comp No 19 by 78
dt 20 Dec 1957 — But when

X

J.A. 12-87.

further Consideration it appeared
to the Govt undesirable to alter
the existing system & orders
were accordingly issued declaring
that existing Rules Continued in

18 The present appeals "can
therefore be decided in accordance
with these Rules which are to the
effect described in

9 It is absolutely certain that
the Contractor was under no
misapprehension as to his right to collect the
tonga Rent of Main Villages
and his obligation to pay the Demand for such
right. He actually acquired
the right and it is his own
fault if he omitted in any
particular instance to
exercise it. By such omission
he cannot claim
exemption from payment due

& the Inamdar. He might
as well refuse & pay the
Govt a part of its todd Rev
on the ground that he did
not choose & exercise
it in a part of the Dist.

20 The Inamdar is prevented
from realizing ~~the~~ his todd
Rev.: the Govt has
undertaken & realize
it for him: the Contractor
~~agrees with the Govt~~ receives
from Govt. the right &
realize the todd Rev
in the Entire Dt, on the
understanding that he
pays & Govt a certain
fixed amount & & the
Inamdars certain Sums
regulated in proportion
& such amount — The
~~latter pays~~ He has accepted

and is doubtful even by the decision of Govt. Mr

the Contract)
And Signed the bond with
full knowledge of the Rules
which define the Mandars
right — He cannot &
of course does not plead
ignorance of having
acquired under the Contract
the right to manage the
Mandars Trade Rev., for
he does exercise it in the
Case of many Mandars.
He apparently wishes to
have the option of not
exercising it in certain
Mam Villages — He is at
liberty to do so, but
by doing so he cannot
Escape from the Payment to the Mandar
which he is under a
distinct obligation to make —

21. The Mandars' right to
Revenue Consists

of rent for both trees ~~on his~~
land and ~~his Heirs of~~ Excise
duty or in some Cases only Excise duty. ~~in some Cases.~~ ~~whether he~~ has
Todd trees or not he is
~~entitled~~ to the same privileges
as Todd Rev. in his Case -
If he prevents the Contractor
from access to the trees or destroys them,
Govt will of course Cancel his Todd
~~R~~. Many but the non-
existence of Todd trees in his village or non-use of them by the Contractor
no grounds on which the
Contractor can refuse to
pay the Mandr his Todd Rev.

~~Cases~~ the Contractors' dodge
of not selling within
the Mandr Village but just outside it,
shows that he has been
unwilling to forego the Todd
Rev derivable in respect of
the Mandr Village.

Under these circs the decision
of the Govt in the Appeal Case now before
us is Reasonable in the Circs. of the Case. Appellant's
19/12/89

Where the two are combined it is of course impossible to separate them - The amt of payment due to the Mandr must be assumed to have been fixed after taking into account the trees in the Village - Whether the Mandr

No.	Names of parties	Village: Taluk	Village: Village	Whether in Sanad	Whether in Inam settlement	Claim: Rate per month claimed	Claim: amount claimed
	M. Déséraj Urs vs Messrs Muddappa & Co. (1)	Chamarajnagar	1. Bagalé 2. Jennúr 3. Demahalli	yes	yes	117-15-6	2831-4- for 2 yrs
		Nanjangud	1. Hosakoté	yes	yes	5-1-0	121-8- for 2 yrs
						123-0-6	2952-12- for 2 yrs 87-8-
	Aswatthanarain Shastry vs Messrs Muddappa & Co. (2)	Tirumakodlu Narsipúr	Hyakanúr	No sanad produced	yes	13-14-2	333-4- for two years
	Sanjeeva Rama-chendra char & Sanjeeva Véda-vyasachar vs Messrs Muddappa & Co. (3)	Mysore	Uttiana-halli	yes	yes	58-10-	1407 for two yrs
	Aswathiah vs Chunchappa (4)	Tirumakodlu Narsipúr	Konaga-halli	No sanad produced	yes	1-13-11	44-13- for two years at 22-6-10 a year
	Amblé Anniah Dandi vs Chunchappa (5)	Chamarajnagar	1. Sagadé 2. Thammadi-halli 3. Hangré-pura		yes	10-10-8	As 128 per annum from 1 April 1887

		38-3-5 5-11-" 5-1-"	1415-10-" for one year 121-8- fr 1yr	1415-10-" for 1Yr	The Deputy Commrs decision is modified and Defendants will pay Plffs Rs 2952-12-" as claimed.
116-8-"	164-13-4	123-"-6	1537-2-"	1,415-10-	
Fmly 2-87-87	123-"-6/per mensem				
Edwards & Co	12-6-7				
Ismail Bellum & Co	12 odd				The Deputy Commissioners decision is modified and the Defendants will pay Plaintiff Rs. 333-4-"
Taylor & Co	11-"-"		124-15-6 for one year at 10-6-9 as per last letter		
Brown & Co	15-8-1			208-4-6 fr 2yrs	
Smetta & Co	13-14-2				
Davy & Co	13-14-2				
Arnold Chellem & Co	45-"-"				The Deputy Commrs decision is modified and the Defendants will pay Plaintiff Rs. 111-4-"-"
Taylor & Co	54-"-"				
Brown & Co	64-"-"	439-11-" @ 1/4 x (58-10) fr 2yrs + 117-4-" per month	967-5-" fr 2yrs		
Smetta & Co	56-13-8				
Davy & Co	58-"-11				
Taylor & Co 1-4-70 to 31-3-75	per mensem 20-11-2		Nil	44-13-8 fr 2yrs	
Brown & Co	29-2-8				
Smetta & Co	28-4-1 2				
Davy & Co	22-6-10				
Do	Vacated?				
Taylor & Co 1-4-70 to 31-3-75	per annum 8-6-4	melt & pestle with increase	Nil	Rs. 128 per annum	
Brown & Co 1-4-75 to 31-3-80	11-7-6	Not asked Defendants & C			

6.	Thoshikhana Nanjaraj Urs' Agent Veera-raj Urs	Mysore	1. Yedahalla		Yes	17-10	From April 1887 together with the hereditary increase.
		Nanjangud	2. Késa-vanhalli		No		
7.	Jaghirdar of Sivanasamu-dram	Mal-valli	Belahavadi & other villages		Yes	15-2-8	
8.	Messrs: Davy Muddappa & Co against the Dy. Comm'r award in regard to Balaraj Urs' villages.	Mysore	1. Kadahalla 2. Sindhuvalli 3. Byahahalli 4. Dadadahalli		Yes	143-10-1	6181-10- as per account furnished by the Land Revenue Office
		Chamraj-nagar	Mangala	Yes	Yes		
9.	Karnik Shipkis of Mapadi	Kunigal	Kalkeré	unauthenticated copy of Sanad dated Rudhu-rodgari	No	150 per annum	450

Taylor & Co.	per month 8-2-			
Brown & Co	22-3-1			
Davy Hitch & Co	17-10-			
Do	17-10-			
			Nil	
Under Amani	Rs. 30-		half	half
Davy & Co	Rs. 20-		of claim	of claim
Deedmallama & Co (Taylor's)	per annum 1689-13			
Brown & Co	2308-2-9			
Papamoa & Co	1723-14-6			
			6181-10-	Nil
			450	Nil

Dwg 2 (84-87)

A.Q. 12-01.

1 Messrs: Davy Muddappa & Co appealing against the award of interest on amounts payable to Inamdars.

---

2 Jodidar of Sivangeré — Madda-puri — Sivangeré — Yes — 20 Rs per month

---

2 Mr. Gopalaya Iyer — Attikuppa — Haribar-pur — Yes — No

---

3 Venkappa and others (Jodidars) — Yellandur — Melkally — No mention of Patteadar produce Kandayam in Govt Enquiries Samvad - in Govt Revenue from them is included in Jere 3 of the Village as per details in Annexure 2 from Amildar — Not Respa-sately named in Yelandur Jagheer — 17-14-6 — 429-12- for 2 years

(5)

---

4 Prince Gopalraj Urs — Kanchipur — Gadaganur — 1000 Rs per annum

---

1 Chamanmani 42 N.E.

Closepet — Gopalar Gorakhalli

---

1 Hoovin Krishna Mahar for Akkala Mutt — T. Narsipur — Kirikankal Nagenahalli Mangadahalli — Yes — Yes — 70-4 per annum for 2 yrs

Chief Secy

I drew this up long time ago -
but did not issue this
as meanwhile promises to
settle amicably. The
has failed & so the order
must issue at once -
Please fill up the order
in the appendix &c.
& then I
shall finish all here
the order

~~Copy to be~~
The record must be
carefully looked into
& Says
for reg as to figures for
Godhra Cane - Car
Can finish this by
Monday. Please try
613/20

Re copy
afl or
on the Secy's
Remarks

? Omitted
Ganapanaiov
Safalyarakshally
Jadhavbhallya

7.	Jaghirdar of Sivana Samudram		Malvalli	Belakavadi & other villages		Yes.
8.	Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co — against the Govt award in regard to Baleraj Urs' villages		Mysore	1 Kadakola 2 Sindhuvalli 3 Byakahalli 4 Dadadahalli		Yes
			Chamrajnagar	1 Mangala	Yes.	yes.
9.	Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co —		appealing against the award of interest			
10.	Karnik Kuppaiya of Magadi —		Kunigal	Kalkere —	Unauthenticated copy of Sannad & Rushirodari	No: according to Inam Rs but included in list —
11.	Jodidar of Sivangere		Maddagiri	Sivangere —		Yes —
12.	M. Gopalarayar		Attikuppa	Bankapur	Yes.	No.

No.	Name of appellant.	Village		Whether in Sannad	Whether in ... Settlement
		Taluk	Village		
1.	Mr. Desaraj Urs —	Chamrajnagar	1 Bagalé 2 Jennur 3 Demahalli	Yes	Yes.
		Nanjangode	1. Kosakote	Yes	Yes.
2	Aswatha Narayana Sastry —	T. Narsipur Taluk	Hyakanur	No Sannad produced	Yes.
3.	Sanjiva Ramachandra Char & Sanjiva Vedavyasachar	Mysore Taluk	Uthanahalli	Yes	Yes.
4.	Aswathiah	T. Narsipur Taluk	Konagahalli	No	Yes.
5.	Amblé Annia Pundit	Chamrajnagar Tk	1 Sagadé 2 Thammadihalli 3. Hangrépura		Yes
6.	Thoshikhana Nanjaraj Urs' Agent. Veeraraj Urs —	Mysore Tk Nanjangode Tk	1 Yedakola 2 Kasavanhalli		Yes. no.

Claim		Rate if any under previous contracts			Amount awarded	Amount disallowed
Rates per ~~month~~ claimed	Amount claimed	I	II	Under Smith's & Co's Contract		
117.15.6	~~1415.10.0~~ 2831-4-0			74.1.1 38.3.5 5-11.0	1415-10-0 for one year	1415-10-0
5.1.0	121.8.0			5.1.0	121-8-0	
123.0.6	~~1476.6.0~~ 2952.12-0	116-8-0	164.13.4	123-0-6	1537-2-0	1415-10-0
3.14.2	333.4.0 for two years	Edwards & Co. 12-6-7 Arnachellam & Co. 12 odd Taylor & Co — 11-0-0 Brown & Co 15-8-1 Smith & Co — 13.14.2 Davy & Co 13.14.2 ~~Proceedings~~			124-15-6 for one year @ 10.6.9 as per last letter	208.4.6
58-10.0	1407. for two years	Arnachellam & Co. 45-0-0. Taylor & Co — 54-0-0. Brown & Co — 64.0.0 Smith & Co — 56-13.8 Davy & Co — 58-0-11			439.11.0 @ ¼ × (58.10)	967-5-0
1.13-11.	44.13.8 per two years	~~Do~~ Proceedings not received			nil.	44.13.8
0.10.8	Rs 128 per annum from 1st April 1887		Do		Nil.	Rs 128 per annum.
17.16-0 from 1st April 1887			Do		nil	

15-2-8		Under Amau Rs 30.0.0 Davy & Co — Rs. 20-0-0.	½ of claim Rs -	½ of clam	
143.10.1.	6181-10-0 as per Acct.		6181-10-0	nil.	Contractor appeal on the ground the right con ferred by the Sanad relat and to Manja village —
amounts payable to Inamdars.					
150 per annum	450		450	nil -	Refee from Dy. Com is pending ordeis Contractor complain
Rs per month					Dy Com's refee is pendin
					Inam Supt refee is pending.

15-12-8
12
189-8-4
3-12-8-6

		Edwards & Co.				
		Armachella Mine				
2		Taylor & Co	31 March 1870	to	1 April 1875	
3		Boore & Co.	31 March 1875	to	5 May 1879	
4		Smith & Papan	16 May 1879	to	31 March 81	
5	Davey Muddle		1 April 81	to		£ 2. 1
				to	31 March 87	3. 11
6	C. Muddle		1 April 87	to	31 March 90	3 £

Put Tars in the file

(10)

47

L.R. 12-47

Maundrell's Tods rights in the Mysore Dts.

19 Decr 1889 I recorded a long order recognising the ~~Maundrell's liability~~ & Contractors liability to pay Maundrell according to Manool that with proportionate increase

Muddappa who then appeared before me promised to settle amicably with Every Maundrell & I accepted the order in abeyance -

6 march 90 - As Muddappa failed to fulfil his promise I directed the issue of the order

6 march 1890 The order issued & the extent to which they were the Dep Comrs deciding based upon the opinion that the Contractor need pay fees when he exercised the ~~Tadee~~ Maundrell Tods rights & directed certain immediate payments by Contractor to Govt. Further investigation was at same time ordered to ascertain what the Manool are & were - This investigation is incomplete

It is not necessary to pursue it further, as the Tasks system has been changed, except to the extent of adjusting old claims. Those claims remain to adjust themselves owing to the insolvency of the Govt. Contractors —

25/3/92

T. 49

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 2nd December 1887.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPERS:—

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, Nos. 214, 235 and 339, dated 27th June, 4th July and 13th August 1887, respectively.

A.a. 12-87.

CAMP NO. 1969—78, DATED MYSORE, 2ND DECEMBER 1887.

ORDER THEREON.—Holders of certain whole inam villages enjoy by their sannads a right to the toddy or "Shendi" revenue, and the manner in which this right is to be enforced as against the Government toddy contractors is laid down in Proceedings No. 4533—190, dated 30th August 1881, and in para V of the conditions attached to the advertisement dated 4th January 1887, calling for tenders for the toddy contract. In the letters above read, the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore enquires whether inamdars from whose villages the Government toddy contractor has drawn no toddy are entitled to any payment on account of the toddy revenue.

2. The toddy revenue consists of two distinct items, namely:—(1) an excise duty and (2) a rent for toddy trees. The excise duty is paid for the privilege of *selling* toddy which is an excisable article under a special law. The rent is in the nature of a payment to the land-lord as the price of the produce of the trees standing on his land. It is not possible to recognize that any inamdar has any right to the excise duty unless it can be shown that the same is vested in him by the express terms of the sannad or other document issued by competent authority. But every inamdar is entitled to a rent for the use by the Government contractor of the toddy trees in his land without reference to his right to toddy revenue under his sannad.

3. The Government contractor is, however, at full liberty either to draw, or not to draw, toddy from trees in any inam village which enjoys the toddy revenue, but when for any reason he uses no trees in the village, the annual payment he is bound to make to the inamdar must in common justice be reduced by an amount representing the price of the produce of the trees.

4. The inamdar on the other hand is at liberty (when the contractor does not want the use of the trees) to manufacture molasses out of toddy, the produce of his trees. (*Vide* Section 21 of the Excise Act, 1881).

5. It may often be difficult to ascertain how much of the payment usually received by an inamdar entitled to toddy revenue would represent duty and how much rent. In the case of an inamdar who cuts and removes the toddy trees in his village, the usual payment to him on account of toddy revenue should be reduced at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioner.

6. It is only necessary to add that no inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue under sannad would have a right to a payment on account of duty unless the Government contractor sells toddy within the limits of his village. It is not possible to dispense with this limitation, for the Government contractor must have full liberty to decide where he would establish shops for sale. The recognition of an inamdar's right to revenue under an excise law is an exceptional arrangement which exists nowhere else in India. But the right has long been enjoyed in this Province, and His Highness' Government are of opinion that it should be continued. The excise law, it is true, allows of licenses for sale being granted to others than the Government contractor (see Sections 13 and 16, Excise Act); but this power is given not so as to enable private individuals to collect and themselves to enjoy an excise revenue. The legislature apparently had no such case under contemplation, and the grant of licenses to others than the Government contractor is provided merely as an additional means of recovering for Government the excise duty. Under such circumstances, no inamdar can be allowed to manage the toddy revenue of his village, though as already stated the Government through its contractor will continue to make to him an annual payment on account of such revenue. At present such payments are very irregularly made by the Government contractor, and the inamdars are in consequence subjected to great hardship, and it is therefore necessary to remedy this evil by

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 2nd December 1887.

7. The following rules on the subject are accordingly proposed to be issued for general guidance, but before issuing them, the Deputy Commissioners will be requested to submit their opinions as to whether they are suitable for the requirements of their Districts, and whether they would propose any modification of these rules:—

Proposed Rules.

I. "No inamdar shall have the right to the toddy revenue of his village, unless such right be expressly conceded to him by sannad or other document granted by competent authority and duly registered on the Government records. But an inamdar who is proved as above to be entitled to such right (hereafter called "Inamdar entitled to toddy revenue") shall receive an annual payment from the Government toddy contractor, subject to the conditions prescribed in the following sections."

II. "An inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue shall not, upon any pretence whatsoever, prevent the Government toddy contractor from selling toddy within the limits of his inam village, nor shall he, unless he resigns his right to the toddy revenue, interfere with the free use of toddy trees in his inam village by the Government toddy contractor."

III. "An inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue shall have no claim for any payment from the Government toddy contractor unless the latter sells toddy within the limits of the inam village.

IV. "The amount payable by the Government contractor to an inamdar entitled to toddy revenue shall be regulated by custom. In the case of dispute between the inamdar and the Government toddy contractor, the Deputy Commissioner of the District in which the inam village is situated shall, upon complaint from either party and after due enquiry, fix the amount payable by the Government contractor to the inamdar at the average of the income from the same source derived by the latter during the preceding 5 (or say 3) years, together with such addition or reduction as may appear reasonable with reference to increase or decrease of the current Government toddy revenue of the District or Taluk, and also subject to such reduction on account of any toddy trees removed as may appear reasonable. The Government contractor and the inamdar shall be bound by such decision, which shall be subject to the confirmation or otherwise, on appeal, of the Dewan. The amount awarded by such decision of the Deputy Commissioner or of the Dewan on appeal, together with interest and costs, if any, which may be awarded, shall be recoverable from the Government contractor in accordance with the rules for the time being in force for the recovery of arrears of land revenue.

V. "If the Government contractor does not wish to draw toddy from the trees of the inam village of an inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue, he shall give written notice of his intention to such inamdar before the 1st April of each year, a copy of such notice being submitted immediately to the Deputy Commissioner for registry in his office. If no such notice is given, the Government contractor is liable to make the full payment specified in section."

VI. "Where such notice has been given, the inamdar shall be at liberty, during the year or years specified in the notice, to manufacture jaggory or molasses from toddy, the produce of trees in his inam village, under Section 21 of the Excise Act, 1881."

VII. "If, in pursuance of any notice given under the last preceding Section, the Government toddy contractor abstains from drawing toddy from trees of the inam village of an inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue, the payment to be made to such inamdar by the Government contractor under Section IV above, shall be reduced by an amount representing a fair rent for the trees not used by the Government contractor."

VIII. "If an inamdar entitled to the toddy revenue fells or otherwise destroys any toddy trees in his village, the annual payment to be made to him under Section IV above shall be permanently reduced by an amount which the Deputy Commissioner, upon enquiry, may consider reasonable."

IX. "There shall be maintained in the Deputy Commissioner's Office a register in the appended form showing the inamdar's rights to toddy revenue. In this register shall be recorded, from time to time, the amount of any payment as fixed under Section IV."

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 2nd December 1887.

Register of Inamdar's Toddy Rights.

Taluk.	Name of Inam Village.	Name of Inamdar.	Date and other particulars of the grant under which the Toddy Revenue is conceded to the Inamdar.	Amount of annual payment due to Inamdar on account of Toddy Revenue.	Probable No. of mature Toddy trees from which Toddy is drawn.	Remarks including notes of

8. The Deputy Commissioners will be requested to proceed at once to comp a statement in the accompanying form, and to submit a copy of such statement wi their reports. The statement should be compared with any existing registers other documents forthcoming, as well as with the accounts of former Governme toddy contractors, and where possible, the signatures of the inamdar and the prese Government toddy contractors should be obtained. If they disagree, the particula of the disagreement should be noted in the column of remarks.

Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Distric
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey, for informat
The Director, Statistics

Statement showing Particulars of Rights of Inamdars to Toddy Revenue in the District of

Taluk.	Name of Inam Village.	Name of Inamdar.	Date and other particulars of the grant under which the Toddy Revenue is conceded to the Inamdar.	Amount of annual payment on account of Toddy Revenue actually made to the Inamdar for—					Probable No. of mature Toddy trees from which Toddy is drawn.	The data upon which the figures in columns 5 to 9 are based.	Remarks.
				1882—83.	1883—84.	1884—85.	1885—86.	1886—87.			

(4) Fair draft.

A.A. 12-67.

Read:-

The following appeals relating to Inamdars' right to the Toddy revenu of their Inam villages:-

Respondents

Appellants

Mysore Maharaja

✓ 1. Mr. Désaraj Urs, Inamdar of Bagale, Jemúr & Démana-halli in the Chamarajnagar Taluk and Hosakoté in the Nanjangúd Taluk

Maharaja

✓ 2. Aswathanarayanasha Inamdar of Hyakanúr village in the Sirumallodi Narsipúr Taluk.

Maharaja

✓ 3. Sanjiva Ramachandr char and Sanjiva Védavyasachar, Inamdars of Uttanahalli in the Mysore Taluk

Maharaja

4. Aswatthiah, Inamda of Konagahalli

in

Respondents.

in the Tirumakodlu Narsipúr Taluk

5. Amblé Anniah Pandit, Inamdar of Sagadé, Thammadihalli and Hangréipura villages in the Chamrajnagar Taluk. Petitioner &c.

6. Thoshikhané Naujarajurs' Agut Veerarajurs, Inamdar of Yedakola in the Mysore Taluk and Késavanhalli in the Naujangúd Taluk. Petitioner &c.

7. Jaghirdar of Sivanasamudrum, Belakavadi and other villages in the Malvalli Taluk. Petitioner &c.

8. Messrs: Davy Muddappa & Co., against the Deputy Commissioner's award in regard to Baléraj

Talaraj Urs villages viz. Kadakola, Siddhuvalli, Byakahalli & Daidadahalli in the Mysore Taluk and Mangala in the Chamrajnagar Taluk.

9. Messrs. Dairy Muddappa & Co., appealing against the award of interest on the amounts payable to Inamdars.

Dairy Muddappa & Co.

10. Karnik Thippiah, Inamdar of Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk.

odidar of Sivangere in the Maddagiri Taluk

12. Mr. Gopalianger, Inamdar of Haribaspur in the Attibuppa Taluk.

Do

Do

Do

56

Ag/ Manager at Ra
Date 17th 1883 for answer
for Sajal Hora P.S. Ally
Village in Chunnapatna
Taluk

Order:-

The parties in the above cases were heard by two members of His Highness the Maharaja's Council on 21st June 1887.

2 The chief point for determination is whether Inamdars entitled to the Toddy Revenue under their sannads can claim to recover from the Toddy Contractor the amount of the Toddy Revenue of their villages, whether or not the Contractor has exercised the Inamdar's toddy rights, that is to say, whether or not he has drawn or sold Toddy within their villages.

3 By order of the late Chief Commissioner dated 5th October 1873, the

management.

The management of the Sayer and Abkari Revenues in Inam villages was formally ordered to be assumed by the Government, and the Inamdars were promised payment of the net Revenue realized.

These orders were intended to cancel previous orders which directed the reservation of Sayer and Abkari Revenue in Inam villages and the payment of compensation for such Revenue, either by deduction of the average amount of such Revenue from the Quit-rent, if any, payable by the Inamdar or by payment of the same to them in cash.

The

The orders of 8th October 1873 did not introduce any practical change in the manner in which the Inamdars' toddy rights used to be exercised for him, by the Government, ever since the adoption of the Contract System.

Both before and after the issue of these orders, Toddy Revenue in Inam villages was being realized by the Government Contractor, a certain fixed sum being recognized as the amount of Toddy Revenue due to the Inamdar.

This sum was originally fixed with reference to actual realizations when the Abkari was under direct Government management, and it

was

was from time to time
increased or decreased in
the proportion of the
increase or decrease of
the Government Revenue
that is to say of the amount
the Contractor paid to
the Government.

The Government
Contractor has always
paid the Inamdars,
their Toddy Revenue as-
certained as above, and the
payments have been made
by him directly to them
over and above the amount
of the Contract paid by
him to the Government.

The arrangement
above described has been
definitely laid down
in express terms by the
orders of Government
issued from time to
time during the last
20 or 30 years, and under
it the Inamdar has
always received his

due

dues which were always regulated in proportion to the amount of the Government Contract unless for any special reasons the Inamdar and Contractor were able to enter into any special terms as to payment between themselves.

In August 1881, the Government ruled that in cases of dispute between Inamdar and Contractor as to the amount payable to the former by the latter, the Deputy Commissioner should fix the same with reference to the average receipts of the past five years.

The Toddy advertisements for the Contracts, from 1st April 1875 to 15th May 1879 & from 16th May 1879 to 31st March 1881 contained the following provision on this subject:—

"Lease of Toddy in Inam villages will be

be taken for the same
"period and on the same
"condition, as those of the
"Government Contract at
"proportionate rates."

This was rather
loosely worded, but the
meaning of it was quite
clear to both Govern-
ment and the Contractor
and the latter executed
his Bond in the same
terms.

In subsequent Toda
advertisements, those
from 1st April 1881 to
31st March 1884 and
from 1st April 1884 to
31st March 1887, the same
existing arrangement
was expressed in some
what different words,
with provision for decisio
by Deputy Commissione
in case of dispute. The
words used were as follow

"No interference
"shall be made by the Lessee
"with the rights as already
"ascertained and defined
"of the [illegible]

"authorized by the Sanad
"to exercise such rights
"within the limits of their
"villages. Disputes regard
"ing such rights will be
"decided by the Deputy
"Commissioner of the
"District and the lessee
"shall be bound by such
"decision, which shall
"be subject however to
"the confirmation or
"otherwise, on appeal of
"the Chief Commissioner."

In the Toddy advertise-
ment for the period from
1st April 1887 to 31st March
1890, the same words have
been used, with additional
express provision regard-
ing disposal by Deputy
Commissioner, of disputes
regarding the amount
of Compensation due to
Inamdars as Toddy
Revenue." The orders of
1881 & subsequent years,
(above referred to) in re-
gard to disposal of disputes

were not intended to abolish the rule of proportion, but merely provided a method for the disposal of disputes which might arise.

Under such circumstances there can be no reasonable doubt as to the applicability if feasible of the rule of proportion to the cases now under consideration. But the real difficulty in these cases arises from the absence of sufficient material for the application of this rule — admittedly the Jagirdar has slept

Office Copy

A 12-87-2 H

Camp No 1193

Seringapatam 26th March 87

Encls 3

Read Appeals against decisions of Dy Comrs Mysore Districts in the following cases of Inamdars claims to toddy revenue

5

1 Veerasamudram Jagirdar & Dany Muddappa

1 Inamdars Bagalerahalli Village (Desaray &c)

2 Do of Ranganhally Village (Appellants)

3 Do Byatanur (Canara Nayaks &c)

4 Do Neelahalli (Gl & Pk)

5 Do Salakanahalli (Venkatappa & others)

6 Do Ullamhalli (Sampra Lakshmanrachar)

7 Do Yedahalli & Narasaraja (Mookambane Nanjaraj &c)

8 Do Bukkanhally & Other Villages (Thimmappa Krishnamachar Muttappa & Achala Nath)

9 Do Muddapa

The parties in the above cases were heard by two members of H. H. the Maharajas Council on 21st June

2 – The Chief points for determination are.

1st Whether the Inamdars concerned are entitled to the toddy revenue

2dly Whether those so entitled can claim and recover from the Toddy Contractor the amount of the toddy revenue of their villages whether or not the Contractor has exercised the Inamdars toddy rights, ie to say whether or not he has drawn or sold toddy within

the limits of their villages.

3. The Subject of the Inamdars' toddy rights in all its bearings will be fully discussed in a separate order. But ~~Govern-~~ ~~ment must resolve to declare~~ ~~that~~ in the cases now in appeal ~~before~~ the Govern-ment decide that the Contractor is bound to pay Inamdars according to custom, Whether or not the Contractor has chosen to exercise the Inamdars' toddy right. The Deputy Commissioners' awards based upon the opposite view thus require modification. But what Inamdars are entitled to toddy revenue and whether the amounts claimed are the payments to which they are entitled according to "Namool" or customare matters on which further

and as to which orders will
separately issue. In the
meanwhile as the Inamdars
concerned have been kept
out of their dues for a long
time, the following amounts
will be paid to the respective
Inamdars towards their
account with the Toddy
Contractor pending final
adjustment hereafter.

On account of Dary Mudapa

	Rs.
Sivasamudram Jahagirdar	548

On account of R. C Mudapa No

	Rs
1. Inamdar of Bagalé, Jemoor & Hoskote (Deseraj Urs	2953
2 Inamdar of Kana-ganhalli village (Aswathiya)	45
3 Inamdar of Hyakanoor (Aswatha narain sastry)	334
4 Inamdars of Melhalli, Yelandur Tk (Venkappia & Others)	430

5 Inamdar of Sagade & 2 other villages (Ambabai Amma Pandit) — 256

6 Inamdar of Uttankalli (Sanjeeva Ramachendrappa) — 1408

7 Inamdar of Yedakota & Kesavankalli (Joshikha-na Sanjaraj Urs) — 423

8 Inamdar of Binikankalli & 2 other villages (Hoondi Krishnamappa, mortgagee of the Ahobala Mutt) — 140

Total ~~5785~~ 5989

4 The Dy Comr of Mysore will have at his disposal the sale proceeds of Govt Promissory Notes of the nominal value of Rs 13,700/- with the interest due thereon (G. O. No 614-5 dated 9th March 1890 —) and the Rs 8025- recoverable by the Dy Comr of Bangalore from Chikmallaiya (G. O. No 995 dated 9th March 1890) making a total of about Rs 21,800. (chik-

Grand Total 6537

(2)

. Muddappa has promised to place at the Dy Comr's disposal at once Rs a further sum of Rs 7,000 . These amounts aggregating Rs ~~21,800~~ 14,800 will be appropriated by the Dy Comr Mysore for paying the amounts above mentioned and other awards of the Dy Comr not in appeal before Govt but apparently not yet paid up by the contractors. A list of this compiled from returns of the Dy Comr's office is appended. It is stated that the award in the case of the Yellandu Jahagire is subject of appeal ~~to be lodged~~ before Government. But there is no objection to the Dy Comr paying the Yellandu Jahagirdar an amount towards account subject

and Rs 13,000 by the End of this month

out of the resident of funds available

to final adjustment
hereafter.

The Dy Com of Mysore
is requested to have the
District and late Division
records fully examined
with a view to collecting all
accounts relating to the
Inamdars' Toddy revenue,
and compiling a history
of rights and payments
in respect of the Inam
villages generally individ-
-ually. A report of the
result should be submitted
showing among other partic-
-ulars when and how the
contract system originated,
whether the Inamdars were
paid directly by the Contractors
or through the Government,
and how, on what princi-
-ples and at what rates
from time to time. There
was a Civil Suit on about
1868 in which

5. A Statement of
pay & allow
taken here under
& Inamdars in
gen - together with
a Copy of the Divn
minute thereon
is forwarded to
the Dy Com
with a request
that he will take
such further
action ordered
in the minute
& submit an
early report of
the result for
the final orders

Dy Com
26/3/92

Dy. 26/3/92

were parties and it is be-
-lieved that the records
in that case will throw
useful light on the
information now required
Chikkuddapa is in
possession of the records
of the Contractors from the
Earliest times and has
agreed to allow them to be
Examined for the required
information. The Dy Comr
is authorized to entertain
if necessary, a special
Establishment for the
purpose of overhauling
records as above and a
sum of Rs 150 is placed at
his disposal for this pur
-pose. The puttas granted
by Inamdars should be
obtained from the Con-
-tractors record and
Mutchelikas Executed
by the Contractors should

we organised from Thandum
in the case of those villages
shewn in the list received
with the Dy Comrs No
dated
as having received any
payment on account of
Toddy revenue.

Memde

Enclosures

1 Statement shewing
Amounts claimed
allowed & disallowed
by Dy Comr & Remarks

2 Statement of villages & Shanbogues
whose names have been struck off the Thandum
in past years -

3 Servants minute thereon

Memde

Please let all hands
at work at this -
for they may be required
for the Thandum arrangements
some - Shall be held
by Dy Comr Mysore
(27th) - Distribute the
work by sections among
all peons here & at
the Servants of Rec &

[illegible]
20/3/15

(General Serial No. 30.)

1890.

From The Asst Comr No. 291 dt 11/6/90

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Distt

Dated Camp Mysore, 26th March

Despatched ——— 27th ——— 1890

Received 1-Q-12-87-27

Enclosures No.

~~General No.~~
Camp No. 1193 N

Forwarding Dewan's minute minuting certain observations on Inamdar's right to Poddy Revenue & requesting to take at once the action ordered in the minute & submit an Early report of the result for the final orders of Govt.

For Statements, please see last page. 2-6-22 not

(5) Copy

A.12-87-2

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore
No. 1193 dt 26 March 1890

Read,

Appeals against decisions of Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in the following cases in which Inamdars claim Toddy revenue:-

1. Srivasamudram Jaglirdar ~~of~~ Dasy Mudappa & Co.
2. Inamdars of Bagalé & other villages (Désiraj Urs)
3. — Do of Kanagauhalli village (Aswatha Iya,
4. — Do of Hyakanur (Aswathnarain Shastri
5. — Do — Melkalli (Yellandur Taluk, (Venkappiah & others)
6. — Do — Sagadé & 3 villages (Amblé Annial Pandit)
7. — Do — Uttanhalli (Sanjeeva Ramachendrachar)
8. — Do — Yedahola & Késavanhalli (Thoshikhané Nanjaraj Urs,
9. — Do — Bini Kauhalli & 2 other villages (Hoondi Krishnammaffa mortgagee of Ahobala Mutt.) ~~vs~~ R. C.

for Ac. vide Ac. please see last page 2-6-22.

Order thereon:- Camp No. 1193.
Dated Mysore, 26th March 1890.

The parties in the above cases were heard by two Members of His Highness the Maharaja's Council on 21st June 1889.

2. The Chief points for determination are:-

1st Whether the Inamdars concerned are entitled to the Toddy revenue.

2nd Whether those so entitled can claim and recover from the Toddy Contractor the amount of the Toddy revenue of their villages whether or not the Contractor has exercised the Inamdars' toddy rights i.e. to say, whether or not he has drawn or sold toddy within the limits of their villages.

The subject of the Inamdars' rights in all its bearings will be discussed in a separate

order. But in the cases now in appeal, the Government decide that the Contractor is bound to pay Inamdars according to custom, whether or not the Contractor has chosen to exercise the Inamdars' toddy rights. The Deputy Commissioner's awards based upon the opposite view thus require modification. But what Inamdars are entitled to toddy revenue and whether the amounts claimed are the payments to which they are entitled according to "Mamool" or custom are matters on which further investigation is necessary and as to which orders will separately issue. In the meanwhile as the Inamdars concerned have been kept out of their dues for a long time, the following amounts will be paid to the respective Inamdars towards their account with the Toddy Contractor pending final adjustment hereafter.

On account of Davy Mudappa & Co.

	Rs
Swasamudrum Jaghirdar ---	548

On account of R. C. Mudappa & Co.

Inamdar of Bagalé, Jennur & Hoskoté (Déseraj urs)	2,95-3
Inamdar of Kanaganhalli village (Aswatthiah)	45
Inamdar of Hyakanur (Aswatthnarainshastry)	334
Inamdars of Mélhalli Yellaindur Taluk (Veni - Kappiah & others)	430
Inamdars of Sajade & 2 other villages (Ambalé Anniah Pandit)	256
Inamdar of Uthanhalli (Sanjeeva Ramachendrachar)	1408
Inamdar of Yedahalla & Keshavanhalli (Bhikhana Naijaraj urs)	423
Inamdar of Binikanhalli & 2 other villages (Hoondi Krishnammachar, mortgagee of the Ahobala Mutt)	140
Total	5989
Grand Total	6537

4. The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore will have at his disposal the sale proceeds of Government Promissory Notes of the nominal value of Rs. 13,700/- with the interest due thereon (G.O. No. 9674-5 dated 11th March 1890.) and the Rs. 8025 recoverable by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore from Chikmallaiya (G.O. No. 995 dated 9th March 1890) making a total of about Rs. 21,80 Chikmuddappa has promised to place at the Deputy Commissioners disposal at once, a further sum of Rs. 7000 and Rs. 13,000 by the end of this month. These amounts aggregating Rs. 41,800 will be appropriated by the Deputy Commr. Mysore for paying the amounts above mentioned and other awards of the Deputy Commissioner not in appeal before Government, but apparently not yet paid up by the Contractors. A list of this Compiled from returns

of the Deputy Commissioner's Office is appended. It is stated that the award in the case of the Yellandur Jaghire is the subject of appeal before Government. But there is no objection to the Deputy Comm paying the Yellandur Jaghiredar out of the residue of funds available, an amount towards account subject to final adjustment hereafter.

5. A statement of payments alleged to have been made to Inamdars in past years, together with a copy of the Dewan's minute thereon, is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, with a request that he might at once take the action ordered in the minute and submit an early report of the result for the final orders of Government.

Signed T. Ananda Rau
Chief Secretary

Visit alluded to in para 4 of
D. Camp no 1193 & 26th Inst

Against Davy Muddappa & Co

Villages	Claimed	Allowed	Disallowed
Mangala & 2 villages	6,181-10-"	6,181-10-"	—"—
Sivas-amudram Jaghire	548-8-"	264-4-"	284-4-"
Dépúr and Kapsôgé	1499-15-2	1441-14-"	58-1-2
13 Villages of Rajnagar (Temple)	9,407-4-"	9,407-4-"	—"—
Waddershalli	67-8-"	67-8-"	—"—
Ganaganúr & Arsikeré	1,219-4-"	1,219-4-"	—"—
Alaganchi (2 parts & 2 cases)	416-3-9	416-3-9	—"—
Yandhalli	75-12-"	—"—	75-12-"
Yalandúr Jaghire	16,324-2-" (balance after payment)	11,138-11-10	5,185-6-2
Total	35,740-2-11	30,136-11-7	5,603-7-4

Against R.C. Muddappa & Co

Villages	Claimed	Allowed	Disallowed
Bagalé, Jennúr & Hosholé	1476-6-" / 1476-6-"	1537-2-"	1415-10-"
13 villages of Chamrajnagar Temple	1960-14-" / 1960-14-"	3921-12-"	—"—
Kanaganhalli	22-6-10 / 22-6-10	—"—	44-13-8

Devan's minute alluded to in para 5 of G.O. Cant. No. 193 of 26th Aug.

50

— Inamdars' Toddy, Mysore Dist. —

This statement has been compiled from the one submitted by the Deputy Commissioner. It should however be verified by reference to correspondence and statements received from the Amildar Inamdars and Contractors, and should be resubmitted after such verification with an explanation of any differences and doubtful points observed

2. Information is wanted upon the following points, as regards the villages named in this statement:-

(1) When the Amani system of Abkari management was abolished and the contract system adopted in the Mysore District;

(2) Who have been the successive Contractors from the very commencement and for what periods,

(3) In what years have Inamdars been paid by the Contractors

through Government, and the amount so paid to each in each year, and also the amount paid (either directly or through Government in each year of the contract system);

(4) What were each Inamdar's annual realizations from Toddy Revenue under the Amani system in force, previous to the introduction of the Contract system, and how much from Toddy groves in Inam villages and how much from sale of Toddy in such villages, where such information is forthcoming,

(5) Information upon the above points should be given as far as possible for each village and not merely for a group of Inam villages - Except, of Course, the Yellandur Jaghire.

3. The accounts and other records from which the above information is obtained should be specified.

The

The Distrct and old Division records should be most carefully searched by competent Officials. Chick Mudappa has promised to afford help. He has 7 years records which are likely enrich valuable information and should be carefully searched, a betent Official being, if necessary, to C. Mudappa for the purpose.

All Puttas executed by Inamdars to successive Contractors should be obtained from C. Mudappa, and the correspondence regarding disputes between the Contractor and Inamdars before 1870 should be examined.

4. It is doubtful whether the Inamdars mentioned in the above statement are all entitled to Toddy Revenue under their sanad. I am most doubtful whether any sanads granted to Jodidars or Kayemguttadars gave them this right, and even in the case of Swvamaniemdars, the question has to be carefully investigated

5. A lump sum grant of Rs. 200 is placed at the Mysore Deputy

Commrs

Commissioner's disposal in order to enable him to entertain additional hands for the more ready collection of information as above.

6. The information as above should be got ready & submitted by the end of May, any points on which information may not be complete by that time being reserved for a subsequent report by the Deputy Commissioner.

7. All Inamdars specified in the accompanying statement should be required by notice issued by the Deputy Commissioner to produce for purposes of the investigation into their claims, if any, to the Toddy Revenue, the originals of Muchalikas executed to them by successive Toddy Contractors ever since the Commencement of the Contract System. Muchalikas thus received, should be carefully filed and the information obtainable therefrom

therefrom should be tabulated and submitted in due course - The notice may be published in the Gazette and require the production of all Muchalikas on or before 31st May 18,

Mysore,
26th March
1890

/Signed/ K. Sheshadri Ay
Diwan

eneral Serial No. 31.)

1890.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF
2122
13 JUN 90
3

From The Commissioner Mysore Dist

To The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ Govt of Mysore.

Dated 11/6/90 & A.Q.12-87-3

Enclosures No. 1 memo
ral No. 291. and 13 statements in a separate pack
No.

Submits a memo
showing the work per-
formed by the Establish
ment entertained for
recording records
relating to Inam
claims to Fodder
revenue &c

V. Ranga
5/7/90

+ These Enclos: are herewith Submitted in a Separate file.
MR.

Dec 29/17

Subject to the usual
conditions.

Submitted for
orders

12/1/18

Put up to the 17th January
next for orders.

This is only a progress
report, & further report
as the awaited before
the subject can be compre-
hensively dealt with

14 Jan

16/1

Secretary
19/1

(6)

73

No 291.
Sn: 1 Memo
and 13 statements

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District,
Dated Mysore 11th June 1890.

These statements
and their English
translations are
submitted in a
separate file

From,
G. F. Meiklejohn Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To
L.R. 17-87-3
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 1193 dated 26th March 1890, in re the Inamdars' claims to the Toddy Revenue, as evidenced by the old accounts and Records of the Division and District Offices, I have the honor to submit herewith a Memorandum explaining how far the temporary establishment entertained for the purpose of searching the old records have succeeded in collecting or obtaining the particulars required. One part of the order of the Government - viz that relating to the examination of the Sanads has not yet been carried out, which is unsatisfactory; Mr Thokkama Revenue Probationer, to whom I entrusted the preparation of the replies to your letter, failing to bring to my notice till the end of May that there was any difficulty ~~about~~ the matter.

The results of the examination of the old accounts as embodied in statements

A to F will show that out of the 159 villages contained in the statement, received with the Proceedings under reply, 115 villages have formerly enjoyed the right to the Toddy Revenue while under Government management (amani) in Krodhi (1844-45 Vide statement marked $\frac{B}{1}$). $\frac{B}{1}$ contains the names of 28 Inam villages which are not entered in the list received from the Dewan's Office as well as the 115 which are entered. These 28 villages also enjoyed the right to the Toddy Revenue in 1844-45.

3 List marked G contains 44 villages included in the Dewan's list, about whose right to the toddy revenue we have discovered nothing in the old records; but in the case of 7 of these, Mutchilikas have been executed by the contractors, Edwards & Co, Taylor & Love acknowledging their right to Toddy revenue.

In some other cases also have known &c &c

4 All these samads must, however, be obtained and gone over before a final conclusion can be arrived at as regards these 44 villages. This will be done and a further report submitted very shortly.

5 The establishment temporarily entertained for the two months of April and May 1890 has cost Rs 130 out of Rs 200

sanctioned. I was prepared to recommend Rs 50/ as a premium to Mr Hockkanna, to whom I gave over the supervision of the work, and he seemed to set about it keenly but as he has only partially completed it, the amount will be kept for the establishment which still has to be retained.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
G. McAlpin
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Encls to (6)

76

D.D. 12 67 - 3 Despatch Commissioner's Office dated Mysore 11th June 1890.

Memorandum

I. In answer to the questions put by the Dewan in his minute, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1st Qn. The amani system appears to have come to a close by the end of July 1862 and the first contract was granted in August 1862. For some time previous to the District Contract, about 1840, there seems to have been a Talukwar and villagewar contract replaced by the amani system which lasted till the end of July 1862 and was in turn replaced by the Districtwar Contract in August 1862. This fact may be gathered from:-

1 The accounts of the amani system from May to end of July 1862.

2. A copy of the circular issued by Captain Hay to the effect that as Messrs Edwards and Co. had obtained the contract in August 1862 the charge of that item of revenue should be handed over to them.

2d Qn.

1. Messrs Edwards and Co. seem to

have obtained the first Contract from August 1862 to 1867 for 5 years which was later on extended to the end of August 1868, as per Circular no 107 dated 11th August 1865.

2. The Contract appears to have next been granted to Arunachalam Muddiar, Veerapille, members of the same Company, from September 1868 to end of March 1870 as per Rubkari $\frac{504}{544}$ dated 6th November 1868.

3. The next Contractors, from April 1870 to end of March 1875, were Messrs Captain A.K. Taylor and Co as per Chief Commissioners letter no $\frac{5893}{907}$ dated 1st March 1870.

4. From April 1875 to end of March 1880 the Contract was given to Messrs Brown and Auchmuty Sett as per letter no 1434 dated 13th March 1875 - But it seems to have been annulled on the 15th May 1879 and a fresh one was given from that day to end of March 1881 to Messrs Smith, Pampanna, Vanie-Gowda and Co as per Circular no 31 dated 12th May 1879

5. The Contractors from April 1881 to the end of March 1890 were Messrs Davey Irmoddappa and Co as per Govt. no 136 dated 17 January 1881, and the Gazette notification published in no 9 of the same month and year.

The following papers connected with the subject have also been separately filed and copies made of them as well as those above quoted which will be forwarded, if needed.

C Copies needed and forwarded

C 1. Circular no 106 dated 7th November 1864.
2. Secretary's letter no 3446/73 dated 16-12-64
D 3. Dirn Supts letter no 11 of 30th May 1864.
E 4 Circular no 6 dated 1st March 1870.
F 5. do no 7 dated 29th do do
G 6. do no 390 dated 8th do 1875
H 7. do no 371 dated 13th do do.
I 8. do no 29 dated 28th June 1881.

Qn 3. There is no reference forthcoming as to the Inamdars having been paid by the Government the amounts due to them by the Contractors. On the other hand the Inamdars have always received their dues direct from the Contractors. The amounts paid by them may be seen from the statements marked A

and furnished by the Contractor Muddappa.

marked A1, A2

Two statements are furnished, of which no 1 is from 1870 to 75 and contains payments made by Messrs D. N. Taylor and Co, and no 2 is from 1881 to 1890 and contains payments made by Messrs Davy Muddappa and Co. No accounts are forthcoming for the payments made during the other terms of Contract. The Contractor writes to say that he has not got any pattas for the years 1864 to 1870 and 1875 to 1881. In order to verify the payments payable to the Inamdars they were asked to submit copies of Muchalikas given them by the Contractors. But all the Muchalikas have not yet been produced. Of those sent in they are not all complete and continuous from 1864 to 1890. In fact the only Inamdar that has furnished a complete set is Sanjeeva Aniniyachar [illegible] Amildars. Reports too called Amildars have not

all been received and a letter has been received from the Palace Comptroller saying that there no muteahlikas relating to the Inam villages of Balé Aro and Gobadagé Aro.

Qr. 4. On a search being made in the old records, it appears that the abkary revenue was under Amani from 1842-43 to September 1845-46. After that time permission was given to the Inamdars to rent out their villages, or otherwise manage the item of Abkary revenue, as per Circular no 3 dated 11th September 1845 issued by Captain Montegomery Division Superintendent. The income of each Inamdar during the Amani System will be seen in the 5th Column of the statement herewith submitted marked B/1. Another statement marked B/2 is also sent up which shows how the item in the 5th Column of the statement B/1 is arrived at. As the Government who worked the "Bab". derived a gain

from the item they allowed a percentage to the Iraindars which added to the amount found as being the average for the 5 years previous to the amani system, the amount produced as mentioned in the 5th Column of statement B/1. These particulars are found in B/2. separate statements are prepared showing 1, the amounts in part or whole, of the said amount fixed as due to the Iraindar paid to the said Iraindar and marked C which no 1 is for a period of 7 years and no 2 Visravasu 1845-46 till September 14, when by a Circular the toddy bar was permitted to be worked independently by the Iraindars. It is often very difficult to find out the separate income of the Iraindars from the two items viz rent for toddy shops in the Iraiu villages and the contract amount for the "Shendi" drawn from their groves. A statement is submitted marked D from which it will appear how much each

Inamdar is entitled to get for his toddy groves and how much for his shops wherever it is possible to give any information on the point. Wherever not possible the items have been entered as general revenue. The accounts of the villages are not continuous. Some have been found for one or two years while some others for a longer period of time - All the villages have not got their accounts for the same year. In the accounts of some villages pertain to one year while those of another village refer to another year. It must be noted here that as the generality of the accounts were in Maharatti and as there was only one hand in the Record department conversant with it, much time was taken up in translating the accounts into Canarese.

II. The question whether alienation of the toddy rights has been expressly made to the Inamdars may be answered from a reference to statement I. There it will appear that in

o In some cases the alienation is stated to be expressed in the Sanad, - whereas in others no express alienation has been made, but there is an entry under the heading being that is the total money value of the Inam including all the 5 items of Panchabab Revenue - This matter had once been settled by the Builders and this statement is a copy of the same. To verify it the Sanads were called for but have not yet been received.

III. As for examining the correspondence regarding disputes between Contractors and Inamdar prior to 1870, search was made for separate bundles of disputes. None such were found, whereupon the registers of those years were looked into where entries were found of slight disputes regarding non payment, partial payment or delay in payment of the money due - A statement of all such is herewith sub-

IV. A statement is herewith submitted marked I, in which the amounts due by the Iraindars as settled by the Taluk accounts in 1842 are written side by side with the annual amount mentioned in the statement forwarded with the Dewan's minute.

Another statement is being prepared in which all entries from the Muchalikas called for and produced by the Iraindars are entered. The Muchalikas have not all been received. The statement is still incomplete and will be forwarded as soon as it is finished.

A statement was found in diaf in the records for years 1887-88 and 1888-89 which is likely to prove useful and for the contents of which the contractors are responsible This statement gives out the no: of shops in each village and the no: of trees. It is forwarded in original for kind perusal and return after use.

Mr Nott here promised to send the amounts

Under what Cir^cs prepd? What is its value? Copy with Dy Chief

Return with other papers

marked I. It was [illegible] prepared at [illegible] requested. Its accuracy appears very [illegible] but it is useful [illegible]

With reference Para 3 of the Proceedings the Comptroller was addressed for remittance of the value of the Government Promissary notes amounting to Rs 13,700, and on information received that the same might be drawn in advance, the undermentioned amounts were paid to the Inamdars named as part payment of their dues, subject to the final order of Government in regard to their claims - A total sum of Rs 12,217-14-4 has thus been distributed and the small balance of Rs 1482-2-4 only is available for future payments to be made to the Inamdars - No sum of money was received either from the Bangalore District or from Chick Muddappa in satisfaction of Inamdars dues as already reported -

Mr Ilsley & Ors Inamdar of Bagali — } Rs 738.

Sublay Annaya Pundit Inamdar of } Rs 64

M. Aswatha Narainshastry	₹ 83-
" Chik Munisami Setti	₹ 55-
" Veeraje Urs	₹ 106-
" Venkappaya	₹ 107
" Hemiga Naiyunda Setti	₹ 49
" Aswathaiya	₹ 11-
The Huzur Officer on account of Chamarajeswa Swami Temple	₹ 258-
The Deputy Commissioner Palace Department on account Gundapuram	₹ 305
Hundi Krishnamachar	₹ 35-
Sanjeeva Himmachar Inamdar of Othanahalli	₹ 352
M. Nanjaraje Urs to be forwarded to Palace department	₹ 488
The Amildar of Hullipore on account of M. Nanjaraj Urs	₹ 1056-8
The Jaghirdar of Déspur	₹ 541-14
Sivanasamudram Jaghirdar	₹ 137-"
The Yellandur Jaghirdar	₹ 5644-
Total	₹ 12,217-14

G. Mackenzie
Commissioner
Mysore Dn.

MM

Encls to (7)

59

Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Office, Dated Mysore 11th June 189

A.Q.12-87-4 Memorandum

I. In answer to the questions put by the Dewan in his minute, I have the honour to state, as follows:—

1st Qn The Amani system appears to have come to a close by the end of July 1862, and the first contract was granted in August 1862. For some time previous to the District contract, about 184 there seems to have been a Taluk war, and villagewar contract replaced by the Amani system which lasted till the end of July 1862, and was in turn replaced by the Districtwar, contract

in August 1862. This fact may
be gathered from:—

1. The accounts of the Amani
-oysters from May to end of
July 1862—

2 A copy of the circular is-
sued by Captain Hay to the
effect that, as Messrs Edwards
and Co had obtained the
contract in August 1862 the
charge of that item of revenue
should be handed over to
them.

How attested? Where is the original? Copy required for reference

2d Qn.

1. Messrs Edwards
to have obtained the first con-
tract from August 1862 to 1867
for 5 years, which was later on
extended to the end of August

1 Wh
2 What Districts or Talukas
3. Whose Circular.
Copy required.

1868 ao per circular No. 107 dated
11th August 1865.

The Contract appears
next been granted to
Arunachalum Modaliar, &
5 fellow members of the same
Company from September 1868
to end of March 1870 ao per
Pulkhari 504/544 dated 6th November
1868.

3. The next Contractors, from
April 1870 to end of March
1875 were Messrs Captain
R. H. Taylor & Co. ao per Chief
Commissioners letter No. 5893/907
dated 1st March 1870.

4. From April 1875 to end
of March 1880 the contract was
given to Messrs Brown & Ruchmal

1 What are the amounts
2. What Districts or Talukas?
3. Whose circular?
Copy required

sett as per letter No. 1424 dated 13th March 1875. But it seems to have been anulled on the 15th May 1879, and a fresh one was given from that day to end of March 1881 to Messrs Smith, Pandpanna, Vanjegowda and Co. as per circular No. 31 dated 12th May 1879.

5. The contractors from April 1881 to the end of March 1890 were Messrs Davy Muddappa & Co. as per circular No. 136 dated 17th January 1881 & the Gazette Notification published in No. 9 of the same month and year.

The following papers connected with the subject have also been separately filed and copies

Copies needed.

made of them, as well as those above quoted which will be forwarded, if needed.

1. Circular no. 106 dated 7th November 18

2. Secretary's letter no. 3446/73 Dated 16-12-6

3. Divl. Sup^t letter no. 11 of 30th May 18

4. Circular no. 6 dated 1st March 18

5 —do— 7 dated 29—do'—do

6 —do— 390 dated 31st —do—18

7 —do— 371 dated 13th —do—

8 —do— 29 dated 28th ... 18

Q^n 3.

There [illegible] reference [illegible] coming as to the Inamdars have been paid by the Government the amounts due to them by the Contractors. On the other hand the Inamdars have always received their dues direct from

the contractors. The amounts paid by them may be seen from the statements marked A and furnished by the Contractor Mudappa.

District officer should verify with reference to his accounts.

Two statements are furnished of which No 1 is from 1870 to 75 and contains payments made by Messrs R. B. Taylor and Co, and No 2 is from 1881 to 1890 and contains payments made by Messrs Davy Mudappa and Co. No accounts are forthcoming for the payments made during the other terms of contract. The Contractor writes to say that he has not got any pattas for the years 1864 to 1870 and 1875 to 1881.

in order to verify the payments
payable to the Inamdars they
were asked to submit copies
of Michalikas given them
by the Contractors. But all the
Michalikas have not yet been
produced. Of those sent in
they are not all complete
and continuous from 1864 to
1890. In fact the only Inam
dar that has furnished a
complete set is Sangeva Inam
dachar of Vathanahulli.
Reports too called for from
the Amildars have not all
been received, and a letter
has been received from the
Palace Comptroller saying
that there are no Michalikas
existing
for the Inam villages of
Kalé Ats and Gopalraj Ats.

Qr 4.

On a search being made in the old records, it appears that the Abkary revenue was under Amani from 1842-43 to September 1845-46. After that time permission was given to the Inamdars to rent out their villages, or otherwise manage the item of Abkary revenue as per circular No 3 dated 11th September 1845, issued by Captain Montegomery Division Superintendent. The income of each Inamdar during the Amani system will be seen in the 5th column of the statement herewith submitted marked B/1. Another statement marked B/2.

Important. Copy required

No 2 Virivasam 1845-46 till September 14. when by a Circular Copy req. the toddy bob was permitted to be worked independently by the Inamdars. It is often very difficult to find out the separate income of the Inamdars from the two items viz rent for toddy shops in the Inam villages, and the contract amount for the "Shendi" drawn from their groves. A statement is submitted marked D from which it will appear how much each Inamdar is entitled to get for his toddy groves to give how much for his shops and [illegible] possible to give wherever it [illegible] on the point any information [illegible] the wherever not poss[illegible]

the item in the 5th column of the statement B/1 is arrived at. As the Government who worked the "bab" derived a gain from the item, they allowed a percentage to the Amandars which added to the amount found as being the average for the 5 years previous to the Amani System produced the amou as mentioned in the 5th column of statement B/1. These particulars are found in B/2. Separate statements are prepared showing 1, the amounts in part of or whol of the said amount fixed as due to the Amandar pai to the said Amandar, and marked A of which No. 1 is for a period of 7 years and

items have been entered as
general revenue. The accounts
of the villages are not contin
uous. Some have been found
for one or two years while
Some others for a longer period
of time. All the villages have
not got their accounts for the
same year. For the accounts of
some villages pertain to one year
while those of another village
refer to another ~~village~~ year.
It must be noted here that
as the generality of the accounts
were in Maharatte and as there
was only one hand in the Record
Department conversant with
it, much time was taken up in
translating the accounts into
Kanarese

II. The question whether
alienation of the toddy rig

has been expressly made
the Inamdars may be ans-
wered from a reference to
statement 7. There it will
appear that in some cases
the alienation is stated to
be expressed in the Sannad,
whereas in others no expres
alienation has been made,
but there is an entry under
the heading beriz that is, the
total money value of the
Inam including all the 5
items of Panchabab Revenue
This matter had once been
settled by the Amildars
and this statement is a copy
of the same. To verify th
the Sannads were called for
but have not yet been receive

III As for examining the
correspondence regarding

disputes between Contractors and Inamdars prior to 1870 search was made for separate bundles of disputes. None such were found, whereupon the registers of those years were looked into where entries were found of slight disputes regarding non-payment, partial payment or delay in payment of the money due. A statement of all such is herewith submitted marked E.

IV A statement is herewith submitted, marked F, in which the amounts due to the Inamdars as settled by the Taluk accounts in 1842 are written side by side

with the annual amount
mentioned in the statement
forwarded with the Dewan's
minute.

Another statement is being
prepared in which all en-
tries found in the Muchalikas
called for and produced by
the Inamdars are entered.
The Muchalikas have not all
been received. The statement
is still incomplete and will be forwarded as soon as it is finished.

The statement here promised will be awaited.
(Sd) J. R. A. J.

A statement was found
in draft in the records for
years 1887-88 and 1888-89 which
is likely to prove useful
and for the contents of which
the contractors are responsible

This statement gives out the no of shops in each village and the no of trees. It is forwarded in original for kind perusal and return after use.

With reference to para 3 of the Proceedings the Comptroller was addressed for remittance of the value of the Government Promissary Notes amounting to Rs 13,700 and on information received that the same might be drawn in advance, the undermentioned amounts were paid to the Guardars named as part payment of their dues, subject to the final order of Government in regard to their claims.

A total sum of Rs 12217-14-0

has thus been distributed and the small balance of Rs 1482-2-0 only is available for future payments to be made to the Inamdars. 80 Sicca of money was received either from the Bangalore Dt or from Chick Mudappa in satisfaction of Inamdars' dues as already reported.

Mr. Deseraja Aru Inamdar of Bagali } 738.

" Ambay Amma Pandit } 64.

" Inamdar of

Aswatth Narayana Shastry } 83.

Chica Munisami Setty } 55

Veeraj Urs. 106

Veeapapaya 107

Thimma Gangunda Setty } 49

Anantha 311

The Muzrai Officer on account of Chamaraja-swaraswami Temple	2582.
The Dy Commissr. Palace department on account of Ganasanus	305
Hundi Krishnamalla	35
Sanjeva Ammyachar & Inamdar of Kottanahalli	352
Mr. Kanyaraje Ars to be forwarded to Palace department	488-7-
The Amildar of Mysore on account of Mr. Kanyaraje Ars	1056-8
The Jaghirdar of Desur	541-14
Sivansamudram Jaghirdar	137-
The Yellandur Jaghirdar	5644
Total Rs	12,217-14

(Sgd) G. H. Nicholetson
Dy Commissioner
Mysore D.

(8)

166

Dated 15th July 1890
Despd 5th September 1890.

To A. A. 12-87-5.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In continuation of this office No 291 dated 4th June last, anent the investigation of the Inamdars' rights to the toddy revenues of their respective Inam villages, I have the honor to forward herewith a statement* submitted by Mr. Chokkanna, Revenue Probationer, whom I deputed to examine the Sanads of the Inamdars with reference to their Toddy grants.

*: See last for the Sec: No 2. 2-6-22.

2. It will be seen that even where the 'Shendy' is not expressly mentioned it is frequently included by the general grant going on to say "and we allow so and so and the produce of everything". In fact altho' Sandal is sometimes expressly included or excluded 'Shendy' is frequently never mentioned. But I think that it has always been understood to be one of the Inamdars' rights.

I have &c

[Signed] G. F. Meiklejohn
Dy Commissioner

PTA

I. S.

This was delayed in despatch as I wished Mr Chokkanna to add two columns to his statement shewing Column 9 "Amount paid now by Contractor" and Column 10 "Not acknowledged by Contractor but claimed". This has now been done.

Sept 5, 1890.

[Signed] G. F. Meiklejohn
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Copy

D Ramachandra
Offg Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

1046 (9)

(General Serial No. 30)

189

CE 7804 20.NOV.90 1354 DEWAN OF MYS

From The Excise Commissioner Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 10th November 1890

Despatched 15

Received A.Q. 12-87-6

Enclosures No. 27

General No. 3080

No. 161

His docket No: 823/48

dated 11th August 1890 re Amildars' claim to duty revenue.

In reply has the honour to forward herewith copy of letter 2746 dated 7th inst from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, giving the information called for in the above, together

Accountant
21/11/90

Read
21/11/90.

No statement received with the Mysore D.C.'s letter No 741 of the 5th instant in reply to Sl. No 4 of the Chief Secretary's Memo No 4112 dated 15th instant.

Sd/- [illegible]
Head Assistant
21/11

Accountant
24/11/90

Read
24/11/90

All Canarese statements received from the Dy. Commr (whatever translated), had be translated into English as soon as possible - (Mem Letter 29/11

Translation Branch Secretariat No. 1 WD 14/12

The enclosures therewith received.

Excise Commissioner
in Singapore.

Encls to (9) 110

2746
Encl: 26.

Mysore Deputy Commissioner
Office Mysore 7th November
1892

From

G. F. Cricklyohn Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir

With reference to the remarks made by the officiating Dewan in the margin of the Memorandum prepared in this office against Amaldars' claims to Toddy Revenue copy of which was received with other enclosures with your Memo: No. 2998/150 dated 4/6 Ultimo, I have the honor to reply seriatim to the Dewan's queries

Query
How attested?
Where is the original?
Copy required for reference.

Marked Ltr

Queries
What are the amounts?

The copy of Circular No. 7 dated 17 July 1862 announcing the grant of the Toddy Contract to Messrs Edwards & Co, is herewith submitted.

A Statement is appended showing

What

What Districts or Talukas?

Whose Circular?

Copy required

Do do do

Amount?

Areas

Do Do

× Cir: Eco: 107 of 11-8-65. marked A

Roobekary Eco: 504/564 of 6th November 1868. marked B

Query

Copies Exceeded

× Circular Eco: 106 of 7-11-64 marked C

Deputy Superintendents Canarese letter Eco: 11 of 30-5-64. marked D

Circular Eco: 6 of 1-3-70 marked E

" " 7 of 29-3-70. " F

" " 390 of 31-3-75 marked G

" " 371 of 13-3-75 marked H

" " 29 of 28-6-81 marked I

Secretary's letter Eco: 3446 dated 16 December 64 not found in the District Office records

Remark

District Officer should verify with reference to his accounts

× shewing the persons, amounts and areas of the different Contracts referred to and copies of the Circulars marginally noted issued by Captain Dr. Hutchin Deputy Superintendent (Deputy Commr.) and by the Superintendent of the Astagram Division respectively are also enclosed.

Copies of the seven Circulars × noted in the × margin are submitted as required.

Records transmitted for the Collector's perusal

There is no account kept in the District Office of

Addenda.

Omissions and deficiencies
could be completed.

Corrective accounts
have been rendered.

of payments made to
Dhandoras by Contractor,
hence the District Officer
is unable to verify the State-
ments with reference to his
accounts.

The results of further
enquiries and examination
of Sumads and Gantchillikas
&c were embodied in a
Statement and submitted
to the Chief Secretary with
to office Letter Ecc: 741
ted 5 September last, short
to the Special Establishmen
tertained for the purpose, was
dismissed with

Query
Unimportant.
Copy required

Marked K

Copy of Circular Ecc: 3
dated 11 September 1845
issued by Captain Livingsto-
Query permitting the dhandor
to exercise their Loony rights
independently is annexed
hereto.

Query
Copy required

The copy referred to is
the same as above.

Query
Do not think Deputy
Registers contain the
number of Sumads in the

The Registers of the
Salem Settlement furnished

to the Revenue Department do not show the purport of Summads in respect to the alienation of the Loddy Reduction

Kindly

The Statement promised will be awaited.

This Statement has already been submitted to the Chief Secretary Vide this office Ro: 74 dated 5th September 1890.

A statement is appended showing the amounts awarded both by the late Deputy Commissioner and by Government on appeal in 17 out of 24 cases mentioned in the last received with Govt Order Camp Ro: 1193 dated 26 March 1890.

It should however be noted that order Camp Ro: distinctly states that the amounts laid down in the order are amounts "pending final adjustment hereafter." It is not stated whether interest should be paid in addition to these amounts. The favor of orders in this point is requested as funds are not available to pay up all the claims. The Statement shows the payments hitherto made

Against the balance of Ro: 39952-5-10 pending adjustment, we have at our disposal only Ro: 28768-14-3 as per margin, leaving a balance of Ro: 11183-7-7 to be made good by Dr: Swoddappa who has been already asked to do so. Rupees 6000 nearly are also due to the Ryandars in the shape of interest calculated up

L.A. 12-87-6.

Sale proceeds of Rs. 13,000 worth of Government Pro-notes received with Comptroller's Loo: $\frac{291}{67}$ and $\frac{1272}{1501}$ dated 23rd May and 2nd July 1890 respectively } Rs 15,259-11-5

Received from the Deputy Comm^r Bangalore District out of Rs: 8025-0-10 due to the account of Lessee Davy Iwoodaspa and Co: Vide his letter Co: 281 dated 11th July 1890 to Chief Secretary to Government } Rs 5433-0-10

Received from Mr^s Iwoodaspa to end of August 1890 } Rs 1627-4-0

Received from the Madras Bank Company, being the sale proceeds of Rs: 10,000 worth of Govt Notes held as part security of Lessee Iwoodaspa and Co's Contract, as per the Bank Agents Co: $\frac{6}{225}$ of 6-10-90. } Rs: 10,437-3-5

Total Rs: 48,757-3-8

Deduct amounts of payments with local cess due to Government } 19,988-5-5

Balance available Rs 28,768-14-3

up to March 1890. Thus there are about Rs 17,183 pending recovery from Mr^s Iwoodaspa before these 17 cases can be fully adjusted. The largest of these is the Gelandur Jaghir to which alone Rs: 25,818- are yet due. I beg to propose, if approved, to pay up the small demands then full dues on of the amount in hand, reserving only the interest and to pay the

Residue of funds available to the Gelandur Jaghirdar subject to full adjustment of his account on payment of money by Mr^s Iwoodaspa. Of the remaining 7 cases in the list above referred to, the demandees have not come forward to claim their amounts, and it is believed that a few have already been s

The enclosures to the Enclo: under reply, are herewith returned.

I have &c

(Signed) G. F. Brickley Johnson
Deputy Comm.r
Bangalore District

True Copy"

R. Nagendra Rao
Excise Commissioner
in Bangalore

Encl. No (9)

Translation

Circular No 107. 3/11/1865.

S.O. 12-87-6

From.

Mc Hutchin
Deputy Superintendent
Mysore District.

To.

The Amildars.

The item "Arrack" is given out on contract to Messrs Edward & Co, for five years, viz. till 1867.

11th August 1865.

Signed { Hassan Kristnappa, Shiristadar.
Venoba Row Hd Munshi

(Signed in English)
Mc Hutchin

Copy prepared as per Original Report received from the Amildar of the Hunsoor Taluk with his R. No 47/43 dd 16th April 1890. "Copy" (Signed) G. F. Meikleyohn. Commissioner.

3 [illegible]

Copy of the Rubkary Dairy received from the Astagram Division from the year 1868-6[illegible]

117

No. 5-44 dated 6th November.

504 · 31 · 87-6

S.A.12-87

The items 'Toddy' Ganja & Opium of the Astagram Division, Srirangapatna and Gulender have been given out on contract for Rs 2,30,000 per annum from November 1868 to March 1870, to Arunachala Modaliar Veera Pillay (principal members) and other would-be members of late Messrs Edward & Co.

Mysore Dt. Rs 1,71,15-2-15-8.

Hassan Dt. Rs 44,568-13-5-

Srirangapatna Rs 7340-6-2

Gulendur Rs. 6937-12-9.

2-15-8

7340-6-2

8493-5-10

The above amount of Rs 2,30,000,— includes Opium & Ganja amounts as per last year, and the balance represents 'Toddy' Revenue. Accounts from current November to March 1869 should be prepared accordingly.

"Copy"

(Signed) G. F. Micklejohn

Dy Commissioner

Encls to 9 Translation

No 106.

12
114

From:—

Ricketts Esqr.
Acting Deputy Superintendt
Mysore District
Astagram Division
A.A. 12-87-6

To.—

The Amildar
Guadlapett Taluk.

Division Superintendent, in his Letter No 504, informs that the Toddy, Opium and Ganja farms are given out on contract as shewn in the margin (×) from the 1st November 18 to the end of March 1870 to Vellore Arunachala Modaliar & Veera Pillay (the principal members of the late Abkari Contractors (Messrs Edward & Co^r), and to others who may be found to be the lawful members of the said company in the decision of the civil case pending in this court; that the said figures include the Ganja and Opium amounts as per last year, the balance repr th. to the S.A. revenue

(×)
Chittoor
Rs 1,71,152-15-8 per annum

Srirangapatam
Rs 7340-6-2 per annum

Yalandur
Rs 6937-12-9 per annum

accounts for 5 months from November 1868 to 1869
current to the end of March 1869 should be
included in the monthly accounts and
that the annual accounts based thereon
should also be sent to him. . . . . .

You are hereby directed to receive
the Khists that may be paid by the
contractors or any one else on their behalf
and to give receipts for the same. Monthly
accounts should also be submitted.

An extract from the translation of the
Contract bond is herewith forwarded for
information and reference.

7th November 1868.

(Signed in English).

Signed:— Chennakasaviah.

Signed by Amildar

Copy of an authenticated copy
received from the Amildar of Gundlupett
with his No. 91/67 dated 26-4-90.

"Copy"
(Signed) G. F. Micklejohn
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

C. C. H.Q. Translation

+20
120

Letter No. 11. dated 30/5/64.) Sealed in ink-6
S.A. 12-47

From: Captain Charles Elliot.
Assistant Superintendent of
the Astagram Division.

To— Jno. H. Ferland.
Amildar
Coriapatna Taluk.

On receipt of letter No. 29 dated
10th May 1864 from the Abkari con-
tractors of the Astagram Div., pray-
ing that as the item of Todi of the
godi, kayemgutta and Sarvamam-
gani villages in this division is
included in their Anchalika —
Sanctioned by the Commissioner
this item may be made over to them
at Punchasal average and that
orders may be issued to the Amildar
to make over the Bab to them, and
stating

stating that, if the item be not so made over to them they would be put to much loss. the records connected with this subject have been perused. It appears from the Secretary's letter No. 3446/73 dd 16th December last that the Commissioner has approved of the proposal made in the Muchalika that the item of Toddy Revenue of the godi, kayemgutta and Suvvamanya villages should be made over to the contractors at Panchasala average or at the revenue derived last year and that the godi, kayemgutta and Suvvamanyadoors should not lease out this item to others, You are therefore requested to see that the ryots of Suvvamanya villages do not sell Toddy as they like and to direct all the godi,

Kayemgutta.

Kayemgutta and Sowmanayadars of the villages the toddy revenue of which was hitherto being enjoyed by them without being credited to Govt, to lease out this item to the Abkari Contractors of the Division at either of the aforesaid alternative proposal as they chose, and to receive the Dzara amounts from the contractors at the proper times. This procedure should be properly enforced

30. May 1864.
Mysore.

(Signed) by Shivastadas

(Signed) Krishnayengar
Head Amuldar

Copy of the Original order received from the Hunsur Amildar with his No. 47/43 dy 16 April 1890.

(Signed) G. F. Micklejohn
Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dt.

E

Circular No. 6. 5/4/3/70 Encls 6 @ Inward 12 3 123

From

Major J. A. Campbell
Superintendent of the
Ashtagram Division

To. A.A.12-87-6.

The Amildar of the
Pariapatna Taluq.

As the Chief Commissioner in his letter No. 5893/909 of the 1st March of the current year directs that the Abkari contract of the Division be given to Capt R. N. Tailor of Marcara for a period of 5 years, viz, from the 1st April 1870 to the close of March 1875, this fact should be duly notified to the Hobli, and village officials, to Idijars who draw toddy and to the general public. The Jodi, Kayemgui and Sarvamanyandars (who enjoy Toddy revenue as per Sannads) should be directed to lease out the Bab to the said contractor for a less sum and take proper Muchalikas from him in as much as the Toddy farm for the whole Divis

has been leased out to him for a sum less than the contract amount of the last year. Care should be taken that they do not lease out the "Bab" to a second party and that they do not draw toddy themselves and sell it. If they infringe this rule, their procedure should be stopped at once, and the matter reported to the Deputy Superintendent.

1st March 1898 [illegible]

Mysore.

(Signed) Narasimhachar

Acting Head Munshee

Copy of enclosure to Huzur Amildar's report No. 4/

(Signed) G. F. Meiklejohn

Deputy Commissioner

No. 7

Encls to (9) Translation

12 & 125

From

M. G. A. Campbell Esqr.

Assistant Superintendent of the

Anantagram Division

To,

A. Q. 12-87-6

The Amildar of Periapatna.

In supersession of the orders convey in circular No. 6, dated 9th Instant to the eff that the Abkari contract of the whole Divisio has been granted to Captain R. N. Tayler of Mercara for the next 5 years and that the Jodi, Kayengutta, and Sarvamanyana should lease out the Toddy farm of their vill to the said contractor in proportion to the reduced amount at which the contract for the whole Division has been now granted, it is hereby ordered that the Jodi, Kayengu and Sarvamanyamdars be directed to lease out the toddy farm of their villages to the said contractor in proportion to the average of the contract amounts of the year ending 31st October 1868, and of the curren year as per present contract.

29th March 1871

Mysore

Copy of the enclosure to Asstt Amildar's report No. 47.

"Copy"

(Signed) G. F. Meikle johns
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

compd

Encl. to (9) Translation

~~130~~
127

390 & 31/3/75

S. C. 12-87-6

To the Amildars of Talukas.

The Abkari contract of the District has been granted to Mr. Brown for 5 years commencing from the 1st April 1875. The question as to whether the contracton should pay to the godi, kayemgutta and Swirawanyadars the toddy revenue of their villages at an enhanced rate in proportion to the contract amount of the District which has been now increased, is under consideration. The said Inamdars should be informed that they should cut the toddy farms of their villages

villages to the said contractor 125 126

and not to any one else.

31st March 75.

Prepared from the circular Register book of the District Office for the year 1874-75.

(Signed in Mahratta) Baboo Row

By (Signed)
G. T. Meikle
Dy Comissio
Mysore D

Compd
ydy.n

H

Encls to ⑨ Inwards No.
129
162

No. 371. Dt 20/3/75.

Extract from the circular Register of the Mysore District for the year 1874-7

To,

L.Q.12-87-6.

The Amildars of eleven Talugs.

In his letter No. 1424, dated 13th Instant, the Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division informs that the bond executed by Messrs. Brown and Co. for the Abkari contract of the Mysore District from the year 1875-76 has been submitted to the Chief Commissioner for sanction, and requests that in anticipation of such sanction, orders may be issued to Amildars to the effect that the Contractors may make their own arrangements in the matter. This fact should therefore be notified to all persons and the due effect given to this order.

20/3/75

Copy

G. F. Meiklejohn
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Compd
yy.n

I

No. 29. & 28/6/81 Enclosure to (9) Translation

130

130

Extract from the Circular Register for the year 1881-82.

To. L.C. 12-87-6.

The Amildars of Talugs.

It having come to the notice of Government that certain Inamdars have leased out the toddy farms of their villages to persons other than the Government Abkari contractors and do thereby cause loss to the latter, it is hereby ordered that the Inamdars be directed to give out the toddy farm to the said contractors above as they have acquired the exclusive privilege of selling toddy throughout the District, and to make their own arrangements with the Contractors as to their profit and intimate the same to this office. This should be intimated to all Inamdars.

28/6/81.

"Copy"

G. F. Meikle john

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

compd
gg.n

Panchabab circn. No. 7. dt 17 July 82

Enclosure to (9) Translation

134
-131

Superintendent

Translation of the order issued from the Srikakulam Ashlagram Division to the Amil of the Periyapatam Taluk in the month of Ashadha of the year "Dundubhi".

A.Q.12-87-6.

As the Arrack, Opium, Toddy & Ganja farms of the whole Ashlagram Division have been taken out on contract by Messrs Edward & Co. for a period of 3 years from August next, under orders from the Commissioner, the revenue from these items has to be credited to Govt up to the end of July. The said Babs or items will have to be transferred to the said Company thereafter. A statement should, therefore, be prepared in the accompanying form & submitted to this Office within 10 days.

2 As the item "Opium" was not included in the "Pancha Bab," the public were, hitherto, in the habit of selling Opium as they liked. This practice should be discontinued from 1st August. The Contractors will sell Opium like Ganja. If any one else sells Opium he shall be liable to punishment. This fact should be now made known to the public by usual Dandoras.

3 As the tax called "Shendi pete canadayam" appears to have been introduced not from the commencement but from the time the Amani system came to force, for the purpose of settlement

of accounts with the shop keepers and as this tax 13½ 13½
is not a land assessment but is called the price
of Toddy, it should not be levied hereafter —
but should be left to the option of the Contractors.
As the "Dukan gutta" has been fixed for the
current year, the said "pete candayem" should be abolished from May 1863.
4 Although the farm has been leased out for the whole
division from August next, yet it has been settled
that the Contractors should conform to the terms of the
Darkhasts already accepted by Govt for the current year.
It should, therefore, be remarked in the Muteahlikas
concerned that the income for the months of May to July
has been credited to Govt. These Muteahlikas & the
Security bonds should be made over to the Contractors
or their authorized agent on the 1st August next and
the shop keepers should also be directed to remain under
the orders of the Contractors. The amount that may fall
in arrears up to July should be collected in full &
remitted to the Treasury within August.
5 The quantities of Arrack & Ganja that may remain in
stock on the morning of the 1st August together with
casks & other articles which the Contractors may wish to
purchase, should be made over to them & a receipt
obtained from them for the original cost thereof. This
receipt should be forwarded to this Office. If the Contractors
pay up such cost, a receipt should be given them for
the amount received & a remark made to that effect
in the receipt obtained from them as aforesaid. If they
do not pay such cost, the matter should be reported to this Office.

6 The Arrack & Toddy shops were hitherto exempted from Mohatarfa tax, but such exemption cannot be allowed hereafter. Hence, these shops should be taxed like petty shops.

7 If any Darkhasts be received for lands containing date trees, the matter should be reported & the Darkhasts sent up.

8. As the tax on "Jaggery Ovens" pertains to the Toddy revenue, the owners of such Ovens are at liberty to pay the tax to the Contractors at the rates already fixed or to make any other arrangement with them. A reasonable professional tax will have to be hereafter imposed on them. They should be informed of this & arrangements made for the imposition of ~~each~~ Mohatarfa tax from the next year.

9 The tax called "Chyákara" seems to have been imposed on the sale of other articles in the Toddy shops. Tax should be imposed on such shops at a rate higher than that imposed on ordinary Shendi shops, but such tax need not be entered in the accounts as "Chyákara"

10 The amount of contract of date leaves for making mats & the sale proceeds of dried Shendi trees which are hitherto being included in the pancha Bab revenue, should be hereafter credited to "Sivoy jama" or Rev. Dis. the contractors having no claim to the same.

11 The system of the levy of Candayam in the case of Mahua & Bagini trees should continue, but the Candayam should be collected as hitherto by the

Taluk Authorities & paid to the Contractors, 134a
being obtained therefor from the latter.

17th July 1862 (Signed) A. C. Hay.
Mysore

Translated.
BB.

K

Cuc No 3
& Wet No 5

Endorsed to (9) Translation

135

From

Captain Montgomery
Lieut. Ashtagram
Division.

L.R-12-87

To

The Asst Amildar
Nanjangud Taluk.

Dt. Bhadrapada Sudha
Viswavasu year.

Ever since the Government undertook the management of the Toddy item of the Jodi Suvamonya and Koyemgutta villages attached to the Nanjangud Taluk, everything with regard to its sale, realizations & have been properly settled and placed on a better footing. As the inhabitants of some of the villages, have petitioned to the Circar, praying that the management of the said item should be left to their charge, Government has been pleased to issue orders as follows:—

1. That the Taluk authorities are hereby authorized to permit the said Inamdars in whose Inams mention is made

Concerning

concerning the right of selling Toddy to sell the same on their own responsibility from the 15th of September.

2. That the said authorities are to take such steps as to convince the Inamdars that they should not extract and sell more quantity than what is necessary for consumption in their villages and thus put the neighbouring Government villages to loss.

3. That they should also warn the Inamdars that they should sell Toddy at the same rate as obtains in the neighbouring Circar villages; in default of which they will be punished.

4. The Taluk authorities should not compel the Inamdars who have agreed to sell toddy at the rates prevailing in the adjacent villages, to sell in their Inam villages where the town rate is adopted viz: 5 pies a seer and thus put them to loss; and it must be brought to the notice of the Inamdars that if the people of a village where toddy is sold at 5 pies a seer, were to go to their (Inamdars') village or villages where it is sold at a less price, they would be made

to pay the high rate viz: 5 pies a seer.

5. If the right of selling toddy is mentioned in the Sannad and if there are no Shendi trees in the village Shendi may be given them for sale in their village from the Circar grove at the actual Circar rate.

6. If in a small inam village where there are large toddy groves, where there are very few people to draw and Consequently the sale is scanty, and if any other Circar village stand in need of the supply, the Govt. with the Consent of the Inamdars may buy toddy at the market rate of that place and sell the same in the Circar village.

7. The Inamdars in whose Sannads the right to sell arrack is mentioned, are allowed to sell the same in their villages by purchasing it in the Taluk Cutcherry at the rate of Rs 0-2-4 a bottle, and to sell the same not less than Rs 0-9-4 a bottle; & that they should not be permitted to bring arrack from other places.

8. The Taluk authorities are directed

directed to be very Careful lest want of Supervision on their part, deception practiced by the Tuandars, will be-come quite manifest from from the Sales of arrack in their Tuan villages, from (Alum Jhadti) or Khaneshumare account and from the Sales of the same in the neigh-bouring Circar villages.

9. All the Hookoms and Yadasts issued from the Hoozoor in regard to the management, Collection &c. of the toddy item, since it was brought under the management of Government, may be brought to the notice of the Tuandars for their in-formation and guidance and thus give no room for Complaint of any Kind by the Tuandars.

10. A list giving the particulars of the villages where toddy &c. are sold in the Taluk has been Compiled and the same is herein enclosed for information; and it is hoped that the directions therein given will be

brought

151
141

brought into force.

A.Q. 12-87-6.

Mypore Dated 11th September 1845.

Translation of the Circular written in the Mahratti Character, found in the Mypore Taluk records.

Translated.

Enclo to 9

No.	Period From	Period To	Total No of Years	Months	Annual Contract amount	Name of Contractors
1	August 1862	August 1868	6		168323	Messrs Edwards & Co
2	Novr 1868	31st March 1870	1	5	230000	do
3	1st April 1870	31st March 1875	5	4	200000	Captn Taylor & Co
4	1st April 1875	15th May 1879	4	1½	220000	Messrs Brown & Anchumal Sadu
5	16th May 1879	31st March 1881	1	10½	170000	Messrs Smith Pampanna & Co
6	1st April 1881	30th April 1883	2	1	240000	Messrs Davis Muddappa
7	1st May 1883	31st March 1887	3	11	211000	do
8	1st April 1884	31st March 1886	2		35000	Kammiah and Co
	1st April 1886	31st March 1887	1	4	14000	do
9	1st April 1887	31st March 1890	3	4	26-8000	Messrs Ch. Muddappa and Co

Mysore Dy Commissioners Office
Mysore 4th November 1890.

Remarks as to area &c

Ramy Dyer's Contract including Bannawarte of the Dist. (This Contract appears to have continued till end of Oct. 186

do do

for the Mysore and Hassan Districts together.

For the Mysore District only

For Mysore District

do

Mysore District proper including Mysore, Yedatore, Hunsur Yadavenkote, Krishnapett Chamrajnuggur Nanjangud Nursipur, Seringapatam Maudya and Yellandur

do For 4 Taluks transferred from Hassan and Dist. viz, Hole Narsipur including Arkulgud Channarayapatam Attikuppa and Volamandalli

do For Attikuppa and Volamandalla Taluks retained in the District Hole Narsipur Channarayapatam being transferred to the Hassan District

the whole of the Mysore District excluding French Rocks and Divisions

G. L. Mackleyne

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

MM

14. Enclosure No (8)

no	Village	Inamdar	amount awarded			amount actually paid to Inamdar		
1.	Mangala & 13 villages	Balaji Vanjaraiyar	6181	10	0	2381	13	11
2.	Sivasamudram	Jagirdar A	548	8	0	211	3	4
3.	Belur & Kuppagadde	Lutchmon Row A-B	541	14	0	541	14	0
4	Dommalur & 13 villages	Ekambareswara temple	10329	0	0	3980	9	4
5.	Gandagansoor & Arsinkere-3	Gopal Rajiars	1219	4	0	470	3	4
6	Hagganelli	Chik Munsami chetty	220	5	9	84	12	8
7	do	Ibonga Naiyunda chetty	195	14	0	75	8	8
8	Yellandur	Jagirdar Mr. Krishna Murti	34563	11	10	8701	2	8
9	Bagalé Jummen & 3	Dherajiars A	2952	12	0	1137	12	0
10	Kenagandalli	Aswattiah A	44	13	8	16	15	4
11	Hyakanur	Aswattanarain Shastry A	333	14	0	127	15	4
12	Melkalli	Venkappia & others	429	12	0	164	15	4
13	Hanahalli	Ibungeva ammaji & 3 chan others A	1408	8	0	542	6	8
14	Sagadete	Anblay ammia Bundit A	256	0	0	98	10	8
15	Gedakola & Kasavanhalli & 2	Hosikhana Vanjaiyar A. agent Veeraiyar	423	0	0	163	6	8
16	Birakanahalli & 2 other villages	Hundi Krishnamachar A	140	4	0	53	15	4
17	Dodda Kalur	Mohomed Hamidud & 3 dito ⊗	151	8	0	58	15	6
		Total	59940	11	3	18812	8	9

Superintendent's Office
Seringapore November 1890

~~147~~ 141

Amt paid						Balance to be paid deducting Incalces			Remarks
Incal ces due			Total						
148	13	10	2530	11	9	36.50	14	3	
13	3	3	234	6	7	324	15		
33 ×	13	10	575	11	10	...	...	"	× To be adjusted ord of interest @ 167-15-8.
248	12	7	4229	5	11	6099	10	1	
29	6	3	499	9	7	719	10	5	
5	4	9	90	1	5	130	4	4	
4	1	6	80	4	2	115	9	10	
543	13	2	9244	15	10	25,818	12	-	
71	4	9	1208	13	9	1743	14	3	Date of decree not known.
1	1	"	18	"	4	26	13	4	
8	"	"	135	15	4	197	14	8	
10	5	"	175	6	4	254	7	8	
33	14	8	576	9	4	831	14	8	
6	2	8	104	13	4	151	2	8	
10	3	5	173	10	1	249	5	11	
3	6	"	57	5	4	82	14	8	
3	11	"	62	10	6	88	13	6	@ Awarded by Mr. Abdul Khad deputy Commissioner
15	12	8	19988	5	5	39952	5	10	

J. J. Mackeyon
Deputy Commissioner
Impeached

MM

4

145/142

[illegible] to original

S. R. 12-87-6

[illegible]
107

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

67 [illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible] 11 [illegible] 65 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1890 [illegible] 16 [illegible] $\frac{47}{43}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

Compd. [illegible]

Copy

[signature]

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

B

3

Enclosure to (8) original
143
143B

ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶ ಮತ್ತು ಚಿತ್ರಲಿಪಿಗಳಿಂದ ಭಿನ್ನವಾದ ಸಂಖ್ಯಾಲಿಪಿಯನ್ನು
1868-69ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಿಂದ ಬಳಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
544 = 6 504-31 A.R. 12-87-6

ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾನಬೆಲೆಯ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇಂತಹ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶೇ. ೮. ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಾ- ಅಭಿಮುಖವಾಗಿ ಶಿಲಾಶಾಸನದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀರಂಗ
ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಬಳಿಯ ದೂರವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದು 230.000 ಮೈ. ಇದೇ 68ನೆ
ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ 70ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಲಕ್ಷಗಳು ದಾಖಲೆ	ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣ	ಕಾಲ್ನಡಿಗೆ	ಸಾಲಿನ ದಾಖಲೆ
6937-12-9	7340-6-2	44568-13-5	171152-15-8

ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ಉದಾ: ಶೇ. ೮. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು 69ನೆಯ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಾಣಬಹುದಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದೇ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಕ್ಷರಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಲಾಗಿದೆ.

compd.
Sd

Sd/-
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

4

Encls: 1) [illegible]

ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.
ದಿನಾಂಕ: 10.

A.R.12-87-6- 144

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧೀನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅನ್ವಯಾಂತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು, ಆರ್. ಆ. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಸರ್,

ವಿಷಯ: ಅಧೀನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅನ್ವಯಾಂತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳು

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧೀನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅನ್ವಯಾಂತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅನ್ವಯಾಂತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುವಂತೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಮಹಾಲೇಖಪಾಲರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಆಡಿಟ್ ಟಿಪ್ಪಣಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು
171152-15-8

ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
7340-6-2

ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
6937-12-9

ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ 1868 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಆಡಿಟ್ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 1870 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಡಿಟ್ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅನ್ವಯಾಂತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಿದ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

Copy

G. Buckley

Deputy Commissioner
Vellore District

D

Encl to (9) orginal

11

14/6

ಶಿರಸಿ ಠಾಣೆಯ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸರ್ಕಲ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್ ರವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 16 ನೇ ಮೇ ಸಂ. 3446/72 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ
ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ವರದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರಾಂಶವು ಈ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು
ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಈ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಇವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲು ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ
ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಇವರು ಹಾಜರಾಗದೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವರ ಮೇಲೆ
ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಈ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ
ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ ಮೂರು ದಿವಸಗಳ ಒಳಗಾಗಿ ಶಿರಸಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ
ಸೂಕ್ತ ಕಾರಣ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲವಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 1963 ರ ಕಲಂ 107 ರಡಿ
ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಎಂದು ಎಚ್ಚರಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿ ಈ ಆದೇಶ ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆರೋಪಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ

1. ಶ್ರೀ ಗಣಪತಿ ತಂದೆ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ, ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಶಿರಸಿ.
2. ಶ್ರೀ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ ಗಣಪತಿ ಗೌಡ, ಕಾಳಿಕಾಂಬಾ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಹತ್ತಿರ, ಶಿರಸಿ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಶಿರಸಿ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ
ಶಿರಸಿ

ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ದರ್ಖಾಸ್ತುದವ್ವಲಂದಾ. ಮಿಲ್ಲಿ. ಠಳಲ್ಲಿನೆಕಾಲ್ಲಾಳು ಬಿಕ್ಕಾಲಿಸಂ

ಸುಳ್ಳು ಲಶ್ಶೆ ಕಿಟ್ಟುದೆನು. ಪಕ್ಕಮಾಂಬ್ಲೈಂಅಮದಶ್ಶೆಕರುಮನಾಲ್ಲನೆಲ್ಲಾ

ಶ್ಲೋಂಗಿ. ಇಶಂಮಾಡಾ ದಳ್ಳಿ ಅಬ್ಬೆದವ್ಲಲ್ಲದಂತ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ. ತಿಲಿಬ್ಬುಗ್ಗೆಮಬಕ್ಕು ಅಲ್ಲಿ

ಬ್ಬಾಂತಿಕಂಡ್ರಾಕ್ಟೆದಾಂಕೆಮುಳ್ಳಿ ಳಿಕೆಲುಲ್ಲಾ ನಕ್ಕುಬ್ಕೆಂಠದಲ್ಲಿಕಿಕೆಂಬ್ಬಕಾಲ್ಲಾ

ಮುಟಕ್ಕು ಅಕಿಕೆಲಿಂದ ದಕ್ಕಿಂಬಳ್ಳಿ ಯಾಂತಿದಶ್ಶೆಮಾಂದ್ಬೆಬಾಂಟುಂಮುಕ್ಕಾಗಿಂಲ

ಗಳ್ಳಕೆಂಲಿಯಾಂಟು ಕ್ಕಲ್ಲಿದಳಿ ಗುಬ್ಬಾ ಮನುಲ್ಲಿ ಅಬ್ಬುಳ್ಳಿಂಸುಕ್ಕಾಲುಬ್ಬಾ

ಅಲಾಂಟುಬಿದಾಸಿಲ್ಲಾಂಲ್ಲೆ ಕೆಕೆಶದ್ಲಾಸುಬಾಂಕಿಶ್ಶಾಸಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಂಡ ಗಾಂಮಳಳಕುಂಬ್ಬೆಲ್ಲಾ

ಪಿಲಿಬಾಂಬಿನಲ್ಲಾ ಠಿಲಿಬ್ಬುಗ್ಗೆಮಬಕ್ಕು ಅಕಿಂಟ್ಟು ಬ್ಬಾಂತಿಕಂಡ್ರಾಕ್ಟೆದಾಂಕೆಮುಳ್ಳಿ

ಬಿಲುರಡುತಿದಲ್ಲಾ ಅಶಂಗೆಬಡಂದು ಅಬ್ಬುದವಂದಾಳ್ಳಿಬ್ಬಾ ಕೆಂಟ್ಟು

ಮಲುಳ್ಳಿ ಳಿಕೆಂಬಳ್ಳಿ ಮಿಂಡ್ರಾ ಬ್ಬಾಂತಿಕಂಡ್ರಾಕ್ಟೆದಾಂಕೆಮುಳ್ಳಿ

ಮುಟಿಳ್ಳುಕ್ಕಾಬಳ್ಳಿಕೆಂದ್ಲಾ ಕಾಶ್ಶೆ ದಿಮಾಂಡಿ ಬಂಡಾಂಬಳ್ಳಾಮಾಲ್ಲಾಸ್ಸಿಕೆಲ್ಲಾ

ಬದು. ಬಶ್ಶಾ 30ನೆ ಮುಟಿಳ್ಳಿ 1864ನೆಸ್ಸಾಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀಟ್ಟಾಳ್ಳಾಮಾಲ್ಲಾ——

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಪಾತ್ರರಳ್ಳಿಕೆಲ್ಲಿ

ಸೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೈಲೆಸ್ಸೈಲು ಅಂಬಾಂಲ್ಲೆ

ಹೆಸ್ಸು. ಮುಂದಿಲ್ಲೆ——

ಕೆಲಾಸೆ ಅಕ್ಕಲ್ಲು ಲಾಲಾಲ್ಲಾ 16-4-90ನೆಸ್ಸಾ $\frac{47}{43}$ನೆಸ್ಸಾಕ್ಕೆ

ಲಿಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕುಸುಲಲ್ಲಾಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲೆಲುಕ್ಕೆಲಾಸಿಂಸೆಬರಿಲುಂದನಕೆಲಾಸ್ಸುಕೆಲಾಲ್ಲಿ

ಮಂಕಳ್ಳುಲ್ಲಾಬೆ——

Compd.
Hsn

ಲಲ್ಲಿ
G. Narasaraja
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

E

6.

5

Enclosure to Q Brochure

148

154

A.Q.12-87-6

'Copy'

G.F. Mac Lloyd

Dep: Commissioner
Mysore District

Encld.

Enclosure to Original

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಕಾರ್ಯವು ಸಂಪಾದಿಸಲಿ—

A.R.12-87-6 +50
150

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳು ಮತ್ತಿತ್ತರ

ಅ. ಸುಬ್ರಾಯಂಪೆಂಡ್ಲಾಲ್ ಬಿ.ಎ. ಬಿ.ಎಲ್. ಶೆರೆಸ್ತದಾರರಿಂದ ಬಳಕೆ

ಶುಶ್ರೂಷಾ ಮಹಾಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಪಾಲ್ಗುಣ ಬಹುಳ ಪಾಡ್ಯಮಿ ಬುಧವಾರ ಮೊದಲು

ಬಳಕೆಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಇಂತಿ ಬಾಸಿಗ—

ಪಿರಿಯ್ಯಪಟ್ಟಣ ಅಮಲಿನ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಮತ್ತಿತ್ತರ
ರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮುಂತಾದ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಅಧಿಕಾರದ
ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಮಹಾಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಫಾಲ್ಗುಣ ಬಹುಳ ಪಾಡ್ಯಮಿ ಬುಧವಾರ ಮೊದಲು ಬಳಕೆಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ
ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು
ಈಗ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಿಂದ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ
ಹೊಸ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು
ಮಾಡಿದರೆ 1868ನೇಯ್ದು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿರುವ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ
ಸಗು ಮಹಾಸಂವತ್ಸರದ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು
ಬಿದರಹಳ್ಳಿಯ ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು ಇಂತಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಕಛೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ
ಬಳಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹೊಸ ಬಿಲ್ಲೆಯುಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಮತ್ತು ಶೆಲ್ಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈಂತಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಸೀಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು ಇಂತಿ ಸಹಿ

ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಅಕ್ಷರ ಅಂಕೆ 47 ನೇಯ್ದು ಶೆರೆಸ್ತದಾರರು

Compd.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Enclo to Q original

ನಂಬರ್
390

~~154~~
157

[illegible] A. 12-87-6

[illegible] 1875 [illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

31 - 3 - 75 [illegible]

74 - 75 [illegible]

[illegible] 87 / 8 - 4. 90 [illegible]

[illegible]

Compd.
[illegible]

Copy
[illegible signature]

Depy. Commissioner

Enclosure 6 (b) attached

ನಂಬರ್ 371

ಪುಟ 152

ಸೈಕಲ್‌ನ ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್ ಪಟ್ಟಿಗಳು 1874-75ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಿ

ಹಂಸೋಂದುಕಾಲ್ಕ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ತಿಗೆ — AR.12-87-6 —

ಅಕ್ಷರಾಲ್ಲಾ ಇರಿಷ್ಟೇಳ್ ಶಿವಾಳ್ಗರ್ ಶಂಶಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕ 13 ನೇಕ್ಕ ಸ್ಟಂ

1424 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಲೆಲ್ಲಾನಿಲ್ಲ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಶಬ್ದಾರ್ ರಚನುಲ್ ಪಾ ಬೆಳವ ಸೈಕಲ್

ಪ್ರ ಪ್ರೈವೇಟ್‌ಕಳ್ ಅಬ್ಬಾ ರಂಜಂಲಾ ಪ್ರೈ/875 ನೇಯ್ತು ಆಯಕ್ಕೆಲ್ ಸುಳ್ಳಲಾಟ್ಟುಮಾ ಶಕ್ಕ

ಶಾಸ್ಟ್ರೈ ಬಳಪುಳ್ಳೊಲ್ಲ ಪಾಂ ಠಲ್ಸಾ ಪ್ರೈಕ್ಷಕಾಂಗ್ಳ್ ಶಶಂಪ್ಪಿಂಶಗಣ್ ಶಾಸ್ಟ್ರೈಕ್ಕಳ್ಳಿಯಿ ಶಿಕ್ಕುನ

ಪಾಂಡಿಯುಪ್ಪೈಂ ಶಿಳ್ಳಗಣ್ ದಿಶೈಕ್ಕಳೊಂಡಾಂ. ನ್ಲಾಂ ಶಂಲ್ಲಾ ಕೈವಿಡಾ ಆಯಶಪ್ಪಟ್ಟಾಳ್

ಪ್ರ ಅಬ್ಬಾ ಮಂಡಿಯೊಲ್ಲ ಕಳಿಪ್ಪೈ ಕಾಲ್ಕು ಸಡ್ಡಿಕಳ್ಳಂಯಿಂಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಕೆಂಕಾನಮು

ಪ್ರ ಇಪ್ಪೋ ಶಪಥಂಡಾಂ ನ್ಲಾಂ ಶಂಲ್ಲಾ ಪಿಸುಪ್ಪೈತಿಲ್ಲ ಕಿಳಪ್ಪ ಕಳ್ಳಿಯ್ ಕಳ್ಳುಂಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕ

ನ್ಲಾಂ ಅಯಿಲ್ ದಿಲ್ಲಾಮ್ ಕಿಳಿಯ. ಕೆಳುಪ್ಪಂಯಾಂ ಆಂಲಿಲ ಶಶಕ್ಕ ಪಟ್ಟು —

20.3.75

ಸಬ್ಮಿ.
ಕೆಂಪ

Yours
J. Ramakrishna
Dep: Commissioner
Nandi Hills

7

Inclosure No (1) to annual

15 B.

ನಂಬರ್ 29.

ಸನ್ 1881-82 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಗಾಗಿ ಸಿದ್ಧಾಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ವಿವರ

ಮಾಲ್ಗಾಂಕಾಲ್ಲು ಆಗುವಾಲ್ಲಿಗೆ A Q. 12-87-6

ಹೆಳಲು ಇನ್ನೂ (ದಾಖಲು) ಕಾಗದಗಳಿಂದಿವೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಕಾನಗಿಲೆಯ
ಕರಣಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅವರು ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ
ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವುಗಳು ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ
ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವೆನು. ಇತಿ —

ಕಾನಗಿಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಆಬ್ಕಾರಿ ಕರಣಿಕರುಗಳಿಂದ ವಿವರಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ.
ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಗದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿಗಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ (ದಾಖಲು) ಕಾಗದಗಳಿಂದಿವೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಲು
ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕಾಗದಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅಂತಿಮ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು
ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇತಿ —

28/29 ನೇ ಜುಲೈ 1881 ನೇಸ್ವಿ

ಆಯ್

G. Murkhya

Deputy Commissioner
North Canara District.

J

Enclosure to ① original

A.R.-12-87-6 ಸಹ್ಯಾದ್ರಿ
164

ಅನುಬಂಧ ಸಂ.೧೨ ಅಂತಿಮ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ

ಸಹ್ಯಾದ್ರಿ ವಿಜ್ಞಾನ ಕಾಲೇಜು (ಸ್ವಾಯತ್ತ), ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

ಬಿ.ಎಸ್ಸಿ. ಅಂತಿಮ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ, ಮೇ ೨೦೨೫

ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ನಿಯಂತ್ರಕರು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ

ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫ ಮೇ ೨೦೨೫ ರಿಂದ ೨೮ ಮೇ ೨೦೨೫

ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೦ ಜೂನ್ ೨೦೨೫

ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು: ೧೨೪೦ | ಉತ್ತೀರ್ಣರಾದವರು: ೧೧೮೭ | ಉತ್ತೀರ್ಣತಾ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ: ೯೫.೧೭%

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. | ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಹೆಸರು | ತಂದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು | ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಂಕಗಳು | ಶೇಕಡಾವಾರು | ಫಲಿತಾಂಶ

೧ | ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಕಾಮತ್ | ಗಣೇಶ ಕಾಮತ್ | ೫೪೨ | ೯೦.೩೩% | ಪ್ರಥಮ ದರ್ಜೆ

೨. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಕಾಮತ್ ಅವರು ಭೌತಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ವಿಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಥಮ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಡೆದರು. ಅವರು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಒಂದು ಖಾಸಗಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಶೋಧನಾ ಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮುಂಗಟ್ಟುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರ ಹಿತಾಸಕ್ತಿಯ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲವು ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ (ಅ) ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮುಂಗಟ್ಟುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಯಾವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆರೆದಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಮುಚ್ಚಿರಬೇಕು. (ಆ) ದಿನಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. (ಇ) ಕೆಲಸದ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಶ್ರಾಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಊಟಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಮಯ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. (ಈ) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ವಾರದ ರಜೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಇರಬೇಕು. (ಉ) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಓವರ್ ಟೈಮ್ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಂಬಳ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. (ಊ) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಹಿತ ರಜೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿನ ಇರಬೇಕು. (ಋ) ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಹಿತ ರಜೆ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಏನು ಮುಂತಾದ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮವು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮುಂಗಟ್ಟುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ೬ ಗಂಟೆಯಿಂದ ರಾತ್ರಿ ೮ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ತೆರೆದಿರಬೇಕು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ನೀಡಿದರೆ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮುಂಗಟ್ಟುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಓವರ್ ಟೈಮ್ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಳ ನೀಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಹಿತ ರಜೆ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಮಿಕರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಹಿತ ರಜೆ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಏನು ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3ನೇ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ. ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ

ಉತ್ತರ

ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯವು ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಬಗೆಹರಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿದೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಬೇಕು.

೫ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸೂಚನಾ ಫಲಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಬೇಕು.

೬ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅಧಿಕಾರಾವಧಿ ಮುಗಿದ ನಂತರ ಹೊಸ ಸಮಿತಿಯನ್ನು ರಚಿಸಬೇಕು.

೭ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೮ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೯ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೦ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೧ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೨ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೩ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೪ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೫ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೬ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೭ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೮ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೧೯ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೦ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೧ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೨ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೩ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೪ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೫ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೬ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೭ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೮ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨೯ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೦ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೧ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೨ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೩ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೪ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೫ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೬ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೭ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೮ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೩೯ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೦ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೧ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೨ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೩ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೪ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೫ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೬ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೭ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೮ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೪೯ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

೫೦ ಸೂಚನೆ: ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಅಂತರ್ಗತ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

Deputy Commissioner
Lucknow District.

Enclosure to ① original

191

K

3

2. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੁੱਲ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕੇਵਲ ਇਕ ਹੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਉਸ ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਕੱਟ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਤਰੱਕੀ ਵੀ ਰੋਕ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਤਰੱਕੀ ਵੀ ਰੋਕ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ।

3. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੁੱਲ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕੇਵਲ ਇਕ ਹੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਉਸ ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਕੱਟ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਤਰੱਕੀ ਵੀ ਰੋਕ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ।

4. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੁੱਲ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕੇਵਲ ਇਕ ਹੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਉਸ ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਕੱਟ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਤਰੱਕੀ ਵੀ ਰੋਕ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ।

5. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਲ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕੁੱਲ ਹਾਜ਼ਰੀ ਦੇ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਕੇਵਲ ਇਕ ਹੀ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਣ ਦੀ ਆਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਜੇਕਰ ਉਹ ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਛੁੱਟੀ ਲੈਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਵਿਚੋਂ ਉਸ ਦਿਨ ਦੀ ਤਨਖਾਹ ਕੱਟ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਤਰੱਕੀ ਵੀ ਰੋਕ ਲਈ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

3.

10.

compd.

Copy

F. Mumm

Deputy Commissioner

Wynaad District

Encl to Myore
Ltr no 2746
of 7 Nov 1950
Rec'd with Cy use
Ltr no 3080/161 of
10 Dec

Fair draft 71 163 (12)

Read:-

A.Q.12-87-7.

The following papers on the subject of arrears of toddy revenue due from Messrs Davy Moodappa & Co and R.C. Moodappa & Co for the years 1881 to 1892:-

fair draft
subt to 2 d/fr
Ld
13/6/07

Letter No. 387 dated 14th Sept. from the Dy Comr Mysore D.

Correspondence ending in letter No. 5647/315 dated 27th April 19

Order thereon:- 10063-4
Rev F 223. 92.9.

The papers relate to the balance of toddy revenue due from the Abkari Contractors for 1881 to 1892, the major portion of it being due to several shanadars

The Dy Comr Mysore District in his letter read above, reports that, according to the accounts of his office, the amounts due from each of the two firms that held the contract during the years

from Davy Moodappah & Co. Rs 150347
(1881-82 to 1886-87)
from R.C. Moodappa & Co (1887-88 to 91-92) Rs 15-466-

14/6/07

14/6/07

It was also stated that a sum of Rs 5343-2-8 ~~which was~~ received on account of Davy Moodappa & Co's dues was on the representation of R.C. Moodappa (who was a member of both the firms) utilized towards the payment of the money due to Chandars by R.C. Moodappa & Co. The Excise Commissioner is of opinion that, as the amount in question was received from Chikka Mallaiah a member of the firm of Davy Moodappah & Co with the express object of being utilized for the liquidation of arrears due from that firm, it should in justice have been credited in the account against Davy Moodappa and Co. Chikmallaya being dead, his brother Hotte Ranganna has complained that the application of this amount towards the liquidation of sums due by the later firm is unjust. Without prejudice to the rights of the members of the two firms as between themselves the Government are on the whole disposed to accept this contention supported as it is, by the Excise Commissioner's opinion and direct that this amount be transferred to the balance-

balance due from R. C. Moodappa & Co.

The proper amounts due from each firm would then stand as follows:-

	Rs.	Rs.
Davy Moodappah & Co.	15034-13-10 − 5343-2-8	9691-11-2
R. C. Moodappah & Co.	15444-1-9 + 5343-2-8	20787-4-5

Of these balances again, a sum of Rs. 10,897-13-5 was reported to have been subsequently recovered from R. C. Moodappa & Co, thus leaving against that Company a balance of Rs. 9889-7-0 only.

The Deputy Commissioner further states that of the interest of Rs. 8305-8-10 paid by R. C. Moodappa & Co on overdue instalments, Rs remitted as contemplated in para 5 of Government Proceedings No. 3463-5 R.F.B. 92 of the 25th August 1894, steps will have to be taken for the recovery of the remaining balance viz:- (Rs 9889-7-0 − Rs 8305-8-10) Rs 1583-14-2 from that firm.

Before passing final orders in the matter of the interest referred to, Government direct that steps be taken by the Dy Comr to collect the marginally noted sums due from each firm and the result reported at an early date.

Davy Moodappa & Co. . . . Rs 9691-11-2.
R. C. Moodappa & Co. . . Rs. 1583-14-2.

Secretary

14/6/01

To. The Excise Commissioner in Bangalore.

Nairobi

Dagoretti

	Claimed	Allowed	Disallowed
Mangala & villages	6181-10	6181-10	"
Kwaoam Mr Jashin Office	548-8	264-4	284-4
Department Reports	1499-15-2	1441-14-	58-1-2
13 Wagogo Khami Temple	9407-4-4	9407-4	"
Gradenhalls -	67-8-	67-8	"
Gen Labour & Artificers -	6219-4-	6219-4	"
Mlaganchi (2 parts) & 2 camps	416-3-9	416-3-9	"
" Shalli -	75-12-	"	75-12-
Labour Staff -	16324-2- (Bal to after page)	11138-11-10	5183-6-2
Total	35740-2-11	30136-11-7	5603-7-4

9 Cases Clothing
5 Saddlery

35740
16324
19416

1	Valabagal Poolāppa	Meysore	Gaudahally	✓	25	4	-	25	4
2	P. N. Krishna Murti	do	Machanahally	✓	229	8	-	229	8
	Gelaudur	Ambalé J.		✓	232	7	9	232	7
	Gelaudur	Gelaudar Jaghir		✓	6000	-	-	6000	-
3	Rajavarna lala and Others	Mysore	Koppagala	✓	159	3	-	159	3
4	Veera Raje Urs	Mysore	yedakola						
	Nanjunde		Kesavanahally		211	8	-	211	8
5	Sanjeeva Ammayya Char	Mysore	Dothanahalli	✓	704	4	-	704	4
	(1)								
6	Bale Urs	Mysore	Kadakola						
			Siddhavalli						
			Bettahatte						
			Doddadahally	✓	1723	9	6	1723	9	6
		Chamaraja nagar	Mangala						
7	Gopaula Raj Urs	Mysore	Arasinakere						
		Nanjunde	Ganaganoor	✓	304	13	-	304	13	-
					9590	9	3	9590	9	3

Matter this heavy reserved for
a subsequent report by Mr Dyke

Approved
26 March 90

Kirkwood Jr

All Inundations specified in the accts
to W. be required, & Notice issued
the Deputy to produce the Originals
of Muchilkas Received & them by the Accountant
Todays Contractors upon Service the Commencement of the Contract System - Muchilkas
thus rec'd. W. be Carefully filed &
the info. obtainable therefrom W. be
tabulated & Submitted in due Course.
The Notice may be published in the Gazette
& require the production of all Muchilkas
on or before 1st May 1890

Purchase of
for the investigation into
the claims of
the Tenby Rly.

232 7 9
6000 " "

232 7 9
6000 " "

232 7 9
6,000 " " x 9

6000

1 159 3 "

159 3 "

159 3 "

211 8 "

211 8 "

211 8 "

704 4 "

704 4 "

704 4 "

(2)

1723 9 6

1723 9 6

1723 9 6

304 13 "

304 13 "

304 13 "

9335 13 3

9335 13 3

9335 13 3

mentioned in the above Sch. are all entitled to Today Rice under the Samad. I am most doubtful whether any Samads granted to Jagirdars or Khangeni pattadars gave them this right & Even in the case of Sirkar Amendments the question has to be carefully investigated.

6. A lump sum grant of Rs 200 is placed at the disposal of Govt. District Court in order to enable him to entertain and hear the more ready Collection of Imps as above

6- The Imps as above will be got ready by the End of May, and points on which Imps may not be completed

sale of Toddy in such villages, where such info is forthcoming.

Information when the above points should be given as far as possible for each village & not merely for a group of many villages — Except perhaps the Golconda Jaghire.

The accounts & other records from which the above info is obtained should be ~~stated~~ stated. The Dist & Old Devn records should be most carefully searched by a Competent Official.

Chick Muddappa has promised to afford help. He has many gpas records which are likely to furnish valuable info & they should be carefully searched, a Competent Official being if necessary sent to C. Muddappa for the purpose — All Pattas Accounts & Inamdars & successive Contractors should be obtained from C. Muddappa regarding the Correspondence & disputes between Contractors & Inamdars before 18 should be examined.

It is doubtful whether the Inamdars

8	Shah Peer Khan	Mysore	Doddakatur Gopulapura	✓	151	8	151	8
9	Mallekarjunaiah	Mysore	Yedahalli	✓	15	2	15	2
10	Poottavaramma	Mysore	Setnaikana halli	✓	90	1	90	1
11	Balakrishna jetty	Mysore	Gohalli	✓	211	10	211	10
12	Sreenevasa Sastriar	Mysore	Amchavadi Yanchalow-danahalli Hommahalli Dadada Kal-halli	✓	154	12	154	12
13	Basavarajaiah	Mysore	Oodaboori-nellahalli K.G.	✓	241	13	241	13
14	Rama Rao and others	Yedatore	Vaddarahalli	✓	60	-	60	-
15	Soobba Sastry and others	Yedatore	Nyaganahalli	✓	24	-	24	-
					948	14	948	14

151	8		151	8		151	8	
15	2		15	2		15	2	
90	1		90	1		90	1	
211	10		211	10		211	10	

(3)

154	12		154	12		154	12	
241	13		241	13		241	13	
52			48			48		
24			24			24		
940	14	4	936	14		936	14	6

Inamdars Todgs Mysore &c.

This Statement has been compiled from the one submitted by the Dewan. — It will however be verified by reference to Correspondence & Statements recd. from the Commissioner, Inamdars & Contractors, and will be resubmitted after such verification with an Explanation of any differences and doubtful points observed. —

In preparing it I wanted from the following points, as regards the Villages named in the Statement.

(1.) When the Amani System of Abkary Inams was abolished & the Contract System adopted in the Mysore District

(2) Who have been the Successive Contractors from the very Commencement & for what periods

(3) In what years have Inamdars been paid by the Contractors, & in those years, and the Amt to be paid to Each in Each year? and also the amt. paid (in those years) directly or through Govt in Each year of the Contract Govt

(4) What were Each Inamdars annual Revenues from Todgs under the Amani System in force previous to the introduction of the Contract System, and how much from Todgs in Inam Villages & how much from

315	6	0	287	12	0	287	12	0
283	7	11	1261	3	3	1261	3	3
922	8	8	18948	12	5	18951	13	8
521	6	7	20497	11	8	20500	12	11

6 Venkatagiriah and others	Ydatore	Goodoogana halli	✓	22	8	32
7 Aliya Nanjarajurs	Hunsoor	1 Doddabelalu				
		2 Doddavaddara keri				
		3 Belathoor				
		4 Nelalkoppa				
		5 Navaloor				
		6 Mancheidevan halli				
		7 Javanakoppa				
		8 Chickka bellalu	✓	206	7	20
		9 Hebbasanakoppa				
		10 Lalanakeri				
		11 Ramapatna				
		12 Hancha				
		13 Gerasana halli				
8 Thimmappiah and others	Hunsur	Thiranellay	✓	97	1	9
9 Veeré Seshanna	Heggaddevan Kote	1 Chakkoot				
		2 Maleyoor	✓	163	5	16
		3 Shankaballi				
	Mandya	Alakeray				
10 Do & others	Mandya	Gowdagere	✓	216		2
				705	5	71

22 8	22 8	22 8	[illegible]	
206 7	206 7	206 7	[illegible]	(4)
97 1	158 14 9	180		Verify
163 5 ..	163 5 .	163 5 .		× C
216 ..	216 ..	216 ..		
705 5 ..	767 2 9	788 4 ..		

General Abstract

1/4	Kayamgutta			315	6	0	315	6
14	Jodi			1310	8	3	1310	8
140	Sarvamaniem			19410	15	1	19412	7
158	Total			21036	13	4	21038	5

9	1	5	9	1	5	9	1	5
6	8	"	6	8	"	6	8	"
67								
36	"	"	36	"	"	36	"	"
30	"	"	30	"	"	30	"	"
416	15	"	416	15	"	416	15	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
12	"	"	18	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
126	5	6	126	5	6	126	5	6
214	14		214	14	6	214	14	
912	11	11	918	11	11	900	11	11

(11)

	Kasipaiah Kasire	Heggadevana Kote	1. Holeyanapura				
			2. Mangodlu				
		T. Narsipur	Hyakanur K.G.				0
22.	Gulur Seshamma	Heggaddevana Kote	Gangadhesahalli				
		Hunsur	Aswalu	✓	234	11 "	234
23.	Gopal Krishnaraj Urs	Heggaddevana Kote	Lagerry	✓	145	7 "	145
24.	Lingaraj Urs	Heggaddevana Kote	Kithoor	✓	21	1 "	21
25.	Basappaji Urs	Gundalpet	Honyala K.G.	✓	45	15 "	45
26.	Chamarajeswara Swami temple	Chamaraj nagar	1. Bendravadi				
			2. Moothige				
			3. Heggathora				
			4. Sivapura				
			5. Kattepura				
			6. Bidarapura	✓	1960	14 "	1960
			7. Kanahalli				
			8. Hondrakere				
			9. Sapayanapura				
			10. Yelakuru				
			11. Aravalu & aldur				
			12. Ammathur		2436	0 0	22636

5	Veeranagharaj Bhut & others	Attikuppa	Chitrahalli	✓	9	1	"	9	1	
6	Iramma Bhut & others	Attikuppa	Dinka	✓	67	8	"	67	8	
7	Sheena Bhutta & others	Attikuppa	Hyganhalli	✓	36	"	"	36	"	
8	Sampat Kumar Devegar	Nagamangala	Karadahalli J	✓	30	8	"	30	8	
9	Andaand Rangappa & others	Maudya	1. Saule Kesalgere 2. Belur 3. Hemmige	✓	416	15	"	416	15	
		Pedatore	1. Kaliyar 2. Kaadur 3. Arakere 4. Kaleyanahalli	✓	36	"	"	36	"	
12	Krishna Pandit & Venkat Lakshmamma	Malvalli	Devalingapura	✓	12	"	"	12	"	
1	Someswara Devaro	Malvalli	Muddenahalli	✓				1	8	
2	Jagheerdar Ramaswami Naidu	Malvalli	Purigali Kaglipura Belakwadi	✓	189	8	"	189	8	
3	Kapasi Bhutta & others	Gelavadur Jagheer	Alakere J	✓	126	5	6	126	5	
7 & 4	Venkappanya & others	Gelavadur Jagheer	Inelliahalli J	✓	214	14	4	214	14	
					1138	11	6	1140	3	
			Grand Total		21036	3	4	21038	5	

92	13		92	13		92	13	
0								
150			150			150		
0								
22	6		22	6		22	6	
16	15	8						
142	13		142	13		142	13	
839	7		839	7		839	7	
31	11	7	31	11	7	31	11	7
296	22	3	1279	2	7	1279	2	7

(10)

27	Subbabhatta	Chamrajnagar	Mudala Agrahar	✓	50	8	"	50	8	
28	Settiarangetti	Chamrajnagar Sk.	Kotaganahalli	✓	50	8	"	50	8	
29	Desa Urs	Chamrajnagar	1 Bagali 2 Jeemoor 3 Benahalli	✓	1476	6	"	1476	6	
		Nanjangud	1 Kosakota							
30	Surapandit	Chamrajnagar	Somasamudra	✓	18	15	"	18	15	
31	Annia Pandit	Chamrajnagar	1 Chamadahalli 2 Sagadey 3 Hanjipura	✓	103	8	"	103	8	
32	Raghavendraswami Mutt	Nanjangud	Ambalé	✓	151	9	"	151	9	
33	Budhukrishnachar	Nanjangud	Keggalur Kongahalli	✓	30	5	"	30	5	
					1881	11	0	1881	11	

57. Bidigiri Rangachar	T. Narsipur	Hemmigey J.	✓	92	13	"	92	13	"
59. Narasimmanya Copadeye & others	T. Narsipur	Hangal J	✓	150	"	"	150	"	"
60. Aswatthanya	T. Narsipur	Hanagaballi J.	✓	22	6	"	22	6	"
61. Sreenivasa Rangachar	T. Narsipur	Bindaballi J	✓	16	15	"	16	15	"
62. Ranga Venkata Narasimhachar.	Seringapatam	Vadiyadbahalli Ballekere	✓	142	13	"	142	13	"
63. Jaghirdar Rama Row	Seringapatam T. Narsipur	Devanaballi Mahadevpura Kumaganballi 2. Schulli 3. Menasikyatta hulli 4. Himmali	✓	839	7	"	839	7	"
	Mahalle	1. Heggur 2. Veerajipura 3. Dadmulagaddu							
64. Narasinga Row & others	Attikuppa	Madavankode Maddakyachava halli	✓	31	11	7	31	11	
				1296	1	7	1296	1	

Bukalie Bheema Rau	Kanjangud	Debaat				0
		Kappasoagy	✓ 200	4	"	200
Rama Rau	Kanjangud	1 Kanenoot				
		2 Manaballi				
		3 Kattiwal				
		4 Maddoot	✓ 278	9	"	278
		5 Kalballi				
6 Siddaraj Urs & others	Kanjangud	Timmerlay	✓ 49	5	"	49
7 Dhunuswami Chetty	Kanjangud	1 Halagunchi				
		2 Kudlapura				
		3 Bagur	392	15	"	392
		4 Taradalay				
8 Venkatakrishnappa & others	Kanjangud	1 Kudlapur				
		2 Bagur				
		3 Taradalay	✓ 162	5	"	162
		4 Hosapura				
9 Venkatramanjois	Kanjangud	Kunasanal	✓ 66	15	"	66
			1150	5	0	1150

35	14	"	35	14	"	35	14	"
27	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
13	3	6	13	3	6	13	3	6
713	13	9	713	13	9	713	13	9
65	14	"	65	14	"	65	14	"
70	2	"	70	2	"	70	2	"
7	9	"	7	9	"	7	9	"
21	11	"	21	11	"	21	11	"
37	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
22	"	"	22	"	"	22	"	"
1015	9	3	950	3	3	950	3	3

(9)

(7)

49	5	"	49	5	"	49	5	"	
									2, 3 & 4 doubtful
392	15	"	392	15	"	392	15	"	Vaughy.
162	5	"	162	5	"	162	5	"	See above remark.
66	15	"	66	15	"	66	15	"	
50	5	0	1150	5	0	1150	5	0	

9 Varadam Bhatta	Nanjan-gud	Eggali	✓	35	14	"	35
10 Appavoo Chetty & others	Nanjangud	Bindigalli K.G.	✓	27	10	"	27
11 Abobala Sastre	T. Narsipur	Kosahalé	✓	13	3	6	13
12 Alija Devaraj Urs	T. Narsipur	Kesipanapura	✓	7.13	13	9	7.13
13 Sundara Chad	T. Narsipur	Kathipura	✓	65	14	"	65
14 Abobala Mutt	T. Narsipur	Basinakanahalli					
		Nagidaballi	✓	70	2	"	70
		Nagalakere					
15 Parakalmutt	T. Narsipur	Karagalli	✓	7	9	"	7
	Attikuppe	Sarangee	✓	21	11	10	21
	Nagamangla	Kaladevanhalli	✓	37	12	"	37
16 Parakala Mutt							
16 Hemmappa Jain & Others	T. Narsipur	Muttati	✓	22	"	"	22


27 12 "
27 12 "
27 12 "
30 14 8
30 14 8
30 14 8
9 8 "
9 8 "
9 8 "
(8)
4 3 3
4 3 3
4 3 3
90 15 "
90 15 "
90 15 "
56 4 "
56 4 "
56 4 "
187 8 "
182 3 "
182 3 "
41 10 "
41 10 "
41 10 "
74 10 "
74 10 "
74 10 "
547 0 11
641 11 11
641 11 11

1	Raghavendra Char	Kanjanur	Kramare Belale	✓	123	12	"	123	12	
1	Dharmasamrajiya Pandit	Kanjanur	Hoskoot	✓	27	12	"	27	12	
12	Pillachar Ramachar	Kanjanur	Valagere Kannipara	✓	30	14	8	30	14	
13	Ramachari	Kanjanur	Karya	✓	9	8	"	9	8	
44	Balaji Row	Kanjanur	Madapara	✓	4	3	3	4	3	
45	Ayachitta Bhyra — via Deckshitta	Kanjanur	Haratalé	✓	90	15	"	90		
5/(a)	— Do — & others.	Attikuppa	Kinigunhalli	✓	56	4	"	56		
46	Sreeniwasachar	Kanjanur	Hagalur J. Kurubatti J.	✓	214	1	"	214		
		Narsipur T.	Dodbagal J.							
47	Gundu Row	Kanjanur	Uppinhalli J.	✓	41	10	"	41		
48	Sabbabhatta	Kanjanur	Gijaganahalli J.	✓	74	10	"	74		
					673	9	11	67		

Villages	Claimed	Allowed	Issued
...ale Jemmur, ...ombe -	1476-6-4 1476-6-4	153-2	415-10
...llage Kaveri ...	1960-14- 1960-14-	3921-12	"
...nagamballi	22-6-10 22-6-10	"	44-13-8
...shadeepur Jaghire	Claim of 1066 pecuniary dispute amicably arranged out of court -		
...epthoor	187--	"	187-- Issued in Money Drafts
...yakanoor	166-15- 166-15-	"	333-14-
...alhalli	214-14- 214-14-	"	429-12
...thanhalli	704-4-8 704-4-8	439-11-4	968-14-4
...agare	145-7- 145-7-		290-14-
...lkere	126-5-6 126-5-6	"	253-11-
...yale Shammadi ...alli & Hanyapura	128- 128-	"	256-
...llandenpaghee	9717-5- 9717-5-	18180-	1254-10-
...aiathale	90-15- 90-15-	181-14	"
...dakhapal (Mysore) ...oorubatty (Mysore)	157-13-2 182-3-	334-	"
...dahalla (Mysore) ...Kasiranhally (Mysore)	211-8- 211-8-	"	423-
...rinkanhally Hirebelleyes	70-2- 70-2-	"	140-4
Jadathakalhally (Mysore)	154-12-4 154-12-4	299-8-8	60-
Kethoopoor (Mysore)	Claim for 65-4 pecuniary dispute adjusted out of court		

No 1
Inclosure
to No
Camp 1193
8/26/[illegible]

167.

Zu

Copy d. Myme
dy/ss No 741
d 5 Sept 1890

Medical is not traceable
in Camb - W Dss
Inst[illegible] & V Nos
Copy obtd from
dy/s Office, for
present use -
Otto 13 Sept 90

	Valabagalu Puttappa	Mysore	Sarvamanya	Yanthahalli
2	P. Ka. Krishnamurthi	Mysore	Do	Nachanhalli
		Yallundur	Jodi	Amblai
				Yallundur Jagir
3	Rajaram Pal & Others	Mysore	Sarvamanya	Kuppiagala
4	Virarajaiyas	Do	Do	Yadakola
		Nanjangud	Kaimgutta	Kasavanahalli
5	Sanjiva Amniachar	Mysore	Sarvamanya	Kitturahalli

Valabagal Purukar Chenna	mention is made of Date trees	Shaudi 2	It is remarked that ~~it was~~ ~~Closed etc~~ during Amani time, the item was not suspended during Contract, money was paid till 1886. To explain the cause of this the Contractor has been called upon on this Office No 27 & 21 dated may be forward a list of Inam villages" exercising the right
Bar Cutcherry Civil Bukshi Gulam Mahamad Khan	mention is made of Shaunde Khana.		
Cas. Backstada Purukar Nari - appa	mention is made of Shaunde Shevaji Sharaf Ranji & Shaunde tax, &c of the village	Shaunde is given and the decendants have the full enjoyment of	It is Stated in Sanad of 13th October 1824 that Thenamathen Lala purchased the village in auction on 10th October 1857 as decided in appeal No 9 of 1857 on the file of the Adalat Court.
Thoshikhana Naikjappaji	mention is made of Date Trees.		
Thoshikhana Nanjappa	0		
Sanjiva Krishnachar	Date Trees		

208 pagodas 6 fanams.

6	Balaj urs	Mysore	Mysore Sarvamia	Kadakola
			do	Sindavalli
			do	Biatthahalle
			do	Madadahalli
		Chamrajnagur	do	Mangala
7	Gopalarajurs	Mysore	Sarvamania	Arsinakere
		Nanjungud	do	Ganagnoor
8	Shaik Peer Khan	Mysore	do	Doddakatoor
9	Mallikarajunaiah	Mysore	do	yadahalli
10	Putte Veeramma	do	do	Chettinayakanhalle
11	Balakrishnashetti	do	do	Gohalli
12	Srinivas Shastry	do	do	Amachavadi
		do	do	Jacha Gowdanahalli
		do	do	Honnahalli
		do	do	Dadathekalhalli
13	Basavarajaiya	do	Kaimgatta	Uttahoor
14	Rama Row & Others	Yadatore	Sarvamania	Odderhalli
15	Subba Shastry & Others	do	do	Nijagarahalli

Beja Najappaji		Shandi	It is Stated that the
Do		Shandi	the Excess Income of these
Do			Villages must be Spent
Do			on "Chithra" Sauthurpan
Do			
Balaji urs	Date Trees		
Ramaji Gopal-raja urs	Date Trees		
Krishnajeeja urs	Do		
Ramchas Cutchery			It is Stated in the Sanad that
Lukshi Raja Khan			the Berize is Sarvamanya village according to Berize given.
Hirumaliga Madivala	Do		a
Chikkaulgatta Madiatya	Do		
Opala Jetty	Do		
Rama Shastri			It is Stated in the Sanad that the he Shd Enjoy whatever amount he may realize from the Village.
Do			
Do			
Akatha Chikka Devacha			The Berize village has been granted as Kanungatta on the Berize
Rama Rao & Others	Shandi 6 pagodas.		In the Sanad the villages of Kuntur, matta Kere Kalla-halli-Hosur, odderhalli are
Papa Batta Lingaiahs Venkatramiahs	Date Trees	Pappa Batta's	mentioned with the Sunda Taluk In the Amildar's report No 224 of 23rd June 1889 mention is made V.T. 14 Stated that the Villages of Hoskot Nijagunchalli, Kogalure Benagana halli, anka-halli, Sirnanahalli, Ned-Appanhalli. Nanjagowdanhalli Gudugunhalli &c Yaranalagunhalli

6	Venkatagiriah & others	y. Datore	Sarvamania	Gadugana halli
7	Aliya Nanjarajurs	Amsur	Sarvamania	Doddaballali
		do	do	Doddaodderkere
		do	do	Balathur
		do	do	Naralkuppa
		do	do	Navalur
		do	do	Machatharan halli
		do	do	Bavirn kuppa
		do	do	Chickkaballal
		do	do	Hebbasavanakupp
		do	do	Lallanakere
		do	do	Gerasanahalli
		do	do	Ramapatna
		do	do	Kunchia
	Thimmappiah & others	do	do	Thirumalli grama
	Vena Shashanna	Heggeda Devanhalli	do	Chickur grama
			do	Moligura
			do	Shankahalli
		Mandya	Do	Alakere
	Vesna Shashanna & others	Mandya	Sarvamania	Gowdagere
	Kashipatti Shastri	Mandya Taluk Heggeda Devanhalli	do	Siddigal Magundal

Vappa Vasara, Lingi Naick Venkatramappa	Date trees		
Aliya Nayaraju	Shandi 34 Pagadies	Shandi 34 pagodas	mention is made of 14 villages including Thungagrama in the Sanad granted to Madiah -
Do	〃		mention is also made of Date
Do	〃		trees - No mention is made of
Do	〃		Thungagrama in the Register
Do	〃		
Do	〃		
Do	Shandi 6 Pagadas		
Do	〃		
Do	Shandi		
Do	17 pa 2½ fan		
Do	4 pag 8 fan		
Do	13 pag 8½ fan		
Do			
Arcot Jamrit Mahal Muppidi Rama Venkat Subbiah	Shandi Date Trees		
Do	Do		
Do	〃	〃	The Sanad of the Village
Rama Vathsavamsa Annamalai Rajashtri Shastri	Shandi Khana 〃		has not produced & no mention is made in the Register accor-
Sanjeevaraju Venkata Veeraraju Garu	Shandi Berij 207 Pag 〃	〃	to the "Panchabab" Hukkum No 22 of 1859 forwarded with the Amildar's Yadi "Panchabab Jabuk" 〃 of No 1930 of 21st June 1859 to the Sheikdars - mention is made that Shandi Shades belonging the Sarvamanyam villages may be sold in the Villages themselves - In the Sanad the following four villages are included Viz Bidrugalu Magum Mumbasoge, Nilavagil -

		V. Nersipur	Kaimgutta	Hakanuru
2	Gular Shashanna	Heggedaderankote	Sarvamania	Gangadahosahi
		Hunsur	Kaimgutta	Ashval
3	Gopal Krishna Rajurs	Heggedaderankote	Sarvamania	Sagar
4	Lingarajurs	do	do	Kitturu
5	Basappajiurs	Gundal	Kaimgutta	Hirayala
26	Chamarajeshwara Devasthana	Chamrajnagar	Sarvamania	Uruthur
			do	Karalur
			do	Ellakur
			do	Sappianapura
			do	Hanahalli
			do	Badarapura
			do	Kattepura
		Chamarajnagar	do	Sivapura
			do	Hegotara
		do	do	Kunthegu
			do	Benderavadu
			do	Hunderkally
7	Subba Batta	do	do	Mudlagrara
8	Sethiraju Chetty	do	do	K. Attagahalli
29	Desha urs	do	do	Bagala
		do	Jodi	Jennuru
		do	do	Dasiahalli
		Nanjangud	Sarvamania	Attigar & Kote

		Shandi 16 pag	In the Amildar's patta of 1842 the boundaries of Beri
Amm Rajiah	Shandi 2p.-1fa		of the village is given
Shanu Rao			
Krishnarajiah	Date Trees		The item was not conceded during Amani Brit-C.S.No 175-97-12-65 rec with Amils report No 154 of 5-6-90 mention is made fro giving over possession of the Toddy Groves &c Items =
Chikkalingarajiah	Do —		
—	—	Shandi 1 pag-8 fa	to the item allusion is made in the Panchasala Hutturali Statem 7 pag 20-4-89 of the Gundlupe Taluk
Chamarajeshwara Temple		Shandi 24 p.-a	
Do		1-1/2 pag	
Do		18 p & 5 1/2 fa	
Do		9 3/4 fa	
Do		1 P. 3 fa	
Do		1 P. 4 fa	
Do		20 Pag 3 3/16 fa	
Do		4 fa	
Do		7 5/8 fa	
Do		87 Pago	
Do		115 Pag 6 1/4 fa	
Do		160 Pag	
Do		2 Pag	
Madavakere Chetty	Shandi Crops.	38	
Chikka Dasiaru	~~Date~~ Tax on Jaggery - Date Trees.		
Do			It is stated that the he may enjoy what increased ever amount he may realis
Do			
Do	Shandi	4 0	from the village.

30	Sura Panditurn	Chamrajanagara	Sarvamania	Soma Samudra
31	Anniah Pandita	do	do	Thummadahalli
		do	do	Sagada
		do	do	Hungrapura
32	Raghavendra Swamy Gharavaru	Nanjangud	Sarvamania	Anbala
33	Madava Krishnachar	do	do	Kaggalur
		do		
		do	do	Kongahalli
34	Bukkoli Bhimachar	Nanjangud	Sarvamania	Dâbur
			do	Kapsoga
35	Ramachar	Nanjangud	do	Kanâmur
			do	Kalhalli
			do	Manhalli
			do	Huttivala
			do	Muddur

		"Shandi"	
Lakshmi Pandit		3 P. 2 fan	
Parakalaswamygala-vru		"	Four villages are mentioned in the Sanad - Of these Padavakka-
immadiyajandiyar	Date Trees -	"	Kudu (village) is not included
Ramiah & others		"	in this register -
Jagavendra Swamy Mutt -		Shandi 3 P. 7½ fan	
Do		There are 22 Date trees in the 2 villages of Kaggalu & Kongahalli as Shandi is being imported and Sold thus Shop tax is recovered as stated in the Statement for the year Shubakrith	The Swamy has executed 'Shanna patra' in the name of Gururayachar Raga- vendrachar & Srinivasachar
Do			Suyamendra Swamy has given as charity - to Krishna Chari Son of Srinivasachar Pujari of the Mutt Ajanaya Swamy on 15th day of Maga Shuda of the year Nandana -
Lakshi Bhimiah		5 Pag - 1 fan	Mention is made of Degur
Do -		Shandi patadi 4 fan -	Mandanhalli, Huthivala, Muddur, Kalahalli, Kilsoge & Kunnoor, in the Sanad - It is stated that he may enjoy the Amarni, Sandal, water and other Sayer items -
Do		Shandi Pattadi 3 fan	
Do		Shandi Dup - 4 fan	
Do		Shandi 3 fan	
Do		Shandi 6½ fan	
Do		Shandi 9 fan 1/4 fan	It is mentioned in Berij patti of 1831 that the amount is for Angeras

36	Shivaraja urs	Nanjangud	Sarvamania	Thumbanarala
37	Mooresamy Chetty	do	do	Alaganchi
		do	do	Kudalapura
38		do	do	Baguru
		do	do	Tharathala
38	Venkatkrishnappa & others	do	do	Hosapura
		do	do	Kudalapura
		do	do	Baguru
		do	do	Tharathala
39	Venkatrama Jois	do	do	Hunsanali
40	Rajavendrachar	Nanjangud	Sarvamania	Shivanali
41	Thirumalaraj pundita	do	do	Balala
		do	do	Hoskuru
42	Pillachar Ramachar	do	do	Valagere
		do	do	Kanipura
43	Ramachar	do	do	Karia
44	Balaji Row	do	do	Madapura
45	Ayachitha Byro-Deekshit	do	do	Hurthala
46	Ayachitha Byro-Dekshit & others	Attikuppa	do	Kanamahalli
47	Srirungachar	Nanjangud	Jodi	Kattur
			do	Kurhutti
		T. Narsipur	do	Doddabagalu

Hosha Khanna Nijappa Khaja Lana Bukshi Chik Sidrajurs	Shaudi Ep Date Trees		
These three villages are included in No 38.			
Arama puroit Linga Batta Nanjunda Batta & Others	Date trees		Mention is made of 14 villages in the 'Sanad' of which Kattavadipura is not included in the Register
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Arama puroit Linga Batta & Others	Date trees		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Do	Do		
Parakal Swamy Sri	Do		
~~Vritti~~ Vritti			Mention is made of the four villages of Kannanay akanhalli Chittanhalli, Madura, Shettahalli in the 21 Vrittis including the Vritti of Parakal Swamy Mutt -
Viramulay Sri Ringacher's son Vrithmachar			It is stated in the Amildar's order that the Shaudi Ep
Sri Ringacharin behalf of the Brothers		Shaudi 3 Fo	may be bought & sold according to Mamool without any Objection

48	Gundu Rao	Nanjangud	Jodi	Uppenhalli
49	Subba Bhatta	Nanjangud	Do	Gijaganahalli
50	Varadhamma Bhatta	Do	Do	Igli
51	Apparao Chetty & others	Nanjangud	Kaimgutta	Bendagahalli
52	Ahobala Shastry	T. Narsipur	Sarvamania	Hosakote
53	Alya Devaraj urs	Do	Do	Kempenpura
54	Sundra char	Do	Do	Kathospura
55	Ahobalamutta	Do	Do	Binekerhalli
		Do	Do	Magalahalli
		Do	Do	Nagalagere
56	Parakalmutta	Do	Do	Karagahalli
		Att Kuppa	Do	Sarangi
		Nafamangla	Do	Kala Devanhalli

			It is stated that he may
			Enjoy all the produce
			In the Taluk Beriz account of 184
			duly Signed & Sealed it is Stated
			Shandi to be 3 fs.
		Shandi 1 pay 6 fs	Mention is made of this in Statement Signed & Sealed of the year Shobakrithi
		Shandi 2 fs	Mention is made of this in the Taluk Statement of 1862 Signed & Sealed
			No Mention is made of Shandi in the Sanad - It is Stated that 2 fs is recovered from the year Sharvari for Toddy brought from another Taluk & sold here:
			his Sanad was produced -
Anaiana Paroli Nanjunda Bhatt	Date Trees		
Alya Devarajachar	Shandi Khana		
Sundrachar	Date crop	Shandi 1 pay. 5 fs	
Ahobala mutthu	Do	Shandi 12 p - 6 fs	
Sri Ranganathu	Do	Shandi 2 p	
Swamy Avergal	Do		
Parakal Swamy Mutt	Date crop		Mention is made of 8 villages
Do	Do		in the Sanad Of these it is
Do	Do		remarked that in the 4
			Villages Chinti Karagahal
			Kaladevana halli and
			Sarangi there are Date Tre
			no remark is made against t
			remaining 4 villages of Kalla
			halli, Gowdagere - Kodihalle &
			Doddagowdana Kopp

57	Chennappa Jois & Others	T. Narsipur	Sarvamania	Mutthatti
58	Bilgire Runga char	Do	Jodi	Hemmiga
59	Narasimiah upadhya & Others	Do	Do	Kannagala
60	Ashvetiah	Do	Do	Konagahalli
61	Srinivas rungachar	Do	Do	Bootha halli
62	Runga Venkatnarasimha-char	Srirangapatna	Sarvamania	Vadagandahalli Ballakere-
63	Joghisdas Rama Rao	Srirangapatna	Sarvamania	Madavapura
		Do	Do	Davanhalli
		Do	Do	Chinlae
		T. Narsipur	Do	Chikalli
		Do	Do	Menashikattenhalli
		Do	Do	Hunsahalli
		Malavalli	Do	Heggur
		Do	Do	Verrarajapura
		Do	Do	Doddamelagodu

			40 pagodas as the four villages are
Rama Bhatti Subba Jois & others	Shandi Khan		
Srimuka Vythevanga mukatha Ringachar.			It is stated that 8 pagodas & 4 fanams were being received from the Shandi Shop keeper.
		Shandi 30 pa-7 1/8 fo	
		Shandi 3 1/2 fo	
		Shandi 2 3/4 fo	
Inthali Srinivasachar.	Shandi Contract 54 pagodas	54 pagodas.	
Do	Shandi Sale 3 pag - 6 fo.	"	
Inkshi Rama Rau	Shandi	Shandi 3 pag	The village is termed either Mathanhalli or Madavapura
			The right of the Sandal & Other forest-produce (Mighamum (Bija. Bab.) is said to be conceded in the Sanad relating to the villages of Manshikathenhalli, Nara-Gowdenhalli, Shikalli, Doddanilagal, Veerarajapura and Keffuru.
			No particulars furnished.
Srikalaswamy Mutt	Joddey bob is mentioned in the original joint Sanad.	3 pag - 6 fo.	The Sanad is numbered 56 in the General Register.
Inkshi Rama Rau	"	"	Four villages are mentioned in the original Sanad of which Nara gowdanahalli is omitted in this Register.
Do			
Do	Shandi 2 pagodas	Shandi 2 pagodas	
Do			In the Sanad the following items are mentioned, Sandal wood Soapnut, Nuddi, Pathanga & forest-products & other
Do		rent 6 fo	

64	Narasinga Row & Others	Atti Kuppa	Sarvamania	Madaveu Kodi
		Do	Do	Muduka yachmanka
65	Verraraghavachar & Others	Do	Do	Chettana halli
66	Thirumalachar & Others	Do	Do	Linka
67	Shama bhatta & Others	Do	Jodi	Kygonahal
68	Sampathe Kumara Iyengar	Nagamangala	Do	Karadahalli
69	Anadapur Rungachar & Others	Mandya	Sarvamania	Sauthe Veelgere
		Do	Do	Belur
		Do	Do	Hemmagi
		Yadatore	Do	Haliyuru
		Do	Do	Hosuru
		Do	Do	Arakere
		Do	Do	Kalanahalli

To Brahmins			In the original Sanad it is stated that all increase of Revenue in the 13 villages of Bandahole, Thimmanahalli, Yachamanahalli, Thadegarahalli, Mallahalli, Alampadi, Basavanhalli, Mambalhalli, Dadthahalli, Machavalalu, Mudikayachamanahalli is conceded to the Inamdars.
Do			
Parekal Swamy Mutt	Toddy Trees. Date Trees.		This village is mentioned in the Sanad relating to the village named against No 46 of this Register.
Vrittidars			In the Sanad of 27th Sept 1821 the 3 villages of Gubbi, Gubbi, Dinka and Honagonahalli are mentioned the right to all increase of revenue is conceded to the Inamdars.
Brahmins	The Mysore Builder		No Berij patti in this District
	The Mysore Builder has reported in his No 290/210 of 24-6-90 that Narasimha Shastri the Vrittidar has deposed to the effect that he has no Sanad title deeds.		Inamdar has written to say that he has no Sanad.
The Sanad is in the name of Narayana Shastri on behalf of Ramana Shanglacher and others.	Date Trees.	48 page 5 fs.	
Do	Do	58 page 2 fs.	
Do	Do.		
Narayanamba agrahar			There is one Sanad for all these four villages - all increase of Revenue is conceded.
Do			
Do	Shasvadi 15 pag.		
Do	Shasvadi, 1 Page 6 fs.		

70	Krishna Pandit and Others	Malavalli	Sarvamani	Devalinganapura
71	Somashekra Devaru	Do	Do	Mudanahalli
72	Jagirdar Ramsamy Mudaliar	Malavalli	Do	Purighal
			Do	Kaglipura
			Do	Balakavadi
73	Kapani Bhatta & Others	Yalandur	Jodi	Alakere
74	Venkatapathiah & Others	Do	Sarvamani	Mellahalli

Revised statement
prepared for Lt Col Kloss
D.C.G. Gart with
Covering letter

No 741 5th Sept 1890

	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
			[illegible]	[illegible]
9	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
10	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
13	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
14	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
15	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible] 2721

[illegible]

1824 [illegible] 73 [illegible]

[illegible] 51 [illegible]

[illegible] 57 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible] 89 [illegible] 23 [illegible] 224 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
			[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	59 [illegible] 22 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 31 [illegible] 8-9 [illegible] 21 [illegible] 193 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible] 4 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]		[illegible]	42 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]			
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible] 63 [illegible] 175 [illegible] 7-12-65 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible] 5-6-90 [illegible] 154 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	49 [illegible] 20 [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]		[illegible]	

[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	—	
[illegible]	[illegible]	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	—	—	[illegible]
[illegible]	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]	—	[illegible]
[illegible]	—	ಶೇಂದಿ [illegible]	
[illegible]	—	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]			[illegible]
[illegible]	—	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible] —	—	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible] —	—	[illegible]	
[illegible] —		[illegible]	
[illegible] —		[illegible]	
[illegible] —		[illegible]	
[illegible] —		[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
[illegible]	[illegible]		
[illegible]			
[illegible]	[illegible]	—	[illegible] 14 [illegible]
[illegible] —	[illegible]		
[illegible] —	[illegible]		
[illegible] —	[illegible]		



51	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
52	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
53.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
54.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
55	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
56.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
57	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
58.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
59	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
60	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
61	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
62	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]



Anandan has written that he has no share

no living partition took

	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
67	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
68	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
69	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
70	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
71	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಣೇಶಾಯ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸರಸ್ವತ್ಯೈ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುಲದೇವತಾಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾತಾಪಿತೃಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದ್ಗುರುಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸೀತಾರಾಮಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಉಮಾಮಹೇಶ್ವರಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಣೀಹಿರಣ್ಯಗರ್ಭಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಶಚೀಪುರಂದರಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಅರುಂಧತೀವಸಿಷ್ಠಾಭ್ಯಾಂ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸೀತಾಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಭರತಶತ್ರುಘ್ನ ಹನುದ್ಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸರಸ್ವತೀಗಣಪತಿಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯೇ